

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Cruzioseptins, antibacterial peptides from *Cruziohyla calcarifer* skin, as promising leishmanicidal agents

Bruno Mendes¹, Carolina Proaño-Bolaños², Fernanda R. Gadelha³, José R. Almeida² and Danilo C. Miguel^{1,*}

¹Departamento de Biologia Animal, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, São Paulo, Brasil. CEP 13083-862, ²Biomolecules Discovery Group, Universidad Regional Amazónica Ikiam, Km 7 Vía Muyuna, Tena, Napo, Ecuador and ³Departamento de Bioquímica e Biologia Tecidual, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, São Paulo, Brasil. CEP 13083-862

*Corresponding author: Departamento de Biologia Animal, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, SP, Brasil. E-mail: dcmiguel@unicamp.br

One sentence summary: Peptides from frog skin secretion show antileishmanial activity.

Editor: Kate Miller

[†]Danilo C. Miguel, <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0522-6562>

ABSTRACT

Screenings of natural products have significantly contributed to the discovery of novel leishmanicidal agents. In this study, three known cruzioseptins—antibacterial peptides from *Cruziohyla calcarifer* skin—were synthesized and evaluated against promastigotes and amastigotes stages of *Leishmania* (*L.*) *amazonensis* and *L.* (*V.*) *braziliensis*. EC₅₀ ranged from 9.17 to 74.82 μ M, being cruzioseptin-1 the most active and selective compound, with selectivity index > 10 for both promastigotes and amastigotes of *L.* (*V.*) *braziliensis*. *In vitro* infections incubated with cruzioseptins at 50 μ M showed up to ~86% reduction in the amastigote number. Cruzioseptins were able to destabilize the parasite's cell membrane, allowing the incorporation of a DNA-fluorescent dye. Our data also demonstrated that hydrophobicity and charge appear to be advantageous features for enhancing parasitocidal activity. Antimicrobial cruzioseptins are suitable candidates and alternative molecules that deserve further *in vivo* investigation focusing on the development of novel antileishmanial therapies.

Keywords: cruzioseptins; frog skin secretion; leishmanicidal; peptides

INTRODUCTION

Leishmaniasis is a vector-borne tropical disease that affects mainly populations in less socioeconomically favored conditions (Charlton et al. 2018). As a result, leishmaniasis continues largely invisible to the global community. This disease is caused by intracellular protozoan parasites that belong to the *Leishmania* genus and about 95% of the cases occur in seven countries, including Brazil (Burza, Croft and Boelaert 2018).

Currently, leishmaniasis chemotherapy is based on the utilization of pentavalent antimonials, amphotericin B, paromomycin, pentamidine or miltefosine in different areas of the world (Frezard, Demicheli and Ribeiro 2009; Sunyoto, Potet and

Boelaert 2018). However, this strategy presents several limitations in clinical practice, such as drug toxicity, high cost prolonged treatment, parenteral administration and selection of antimonial-resistant strains of *Leishmania*. In this scenario, the discovery of new leishmanicidal agents and molecular targets is key for the design of novel and improved therapeutic options (Alcântara et al. 2018).

For decades, the screening of natural products and the process of drug repositioning have played a fundamental role in the development of antileishmanial drugs (Le Pape 2008). The former has revealed new bioactive chemical structures with high activity from plants and animals, while the latter showed

Received: 11 June 2020; Accepted: 9 September 2020

© The Author(s) 2020. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of FEMS. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com

that some molecules previously characterized may be alternatives and useful for the treatment of this parasitic disease. In fact, many drugs available for treatment of leishmaniasis were not initially developed for this purpose (Charlton et al. 2018; Fernández-Prada et al. 2019). To illustrate this point, it is important to highlight that miltefosine, amphotericin B and paromomycin were originally designed as biomedical approaches to treat cancer, fungal and bacterial infections, respectively (Charlton et al. 2018).

Animal secretions enclose natural molecules that could be the basis for modern drugs and research tools (Simões-Silva et al. 2018; Almeida et al. 2019). Amphibian skins are composed by a number of diversified compounds, such as proteins, peptides, alkaloids and steroids, with multiple applications (Demori et al. 2019). In fact, the biomolecular diversity obtained from these secretions have shown promising antibacterial, antiviral, hypoglycemic, analgesic, anticancer and antiparasitic effects, including antileishmanial activity (Gomes et al. 2007). Particularly, peptides have been recognized as potential scaffolds for the development of novel agents for leishmaniasis treatment (Brand et al. 2013; Renata et al. 2017; Giovati et al. 2018; Mendes et al. 2019).

Proteomic, genomic and functional studies have investigated the chemical and biological diversity of peptides from amphibian secretions (Ma et al. 2010; Proaño-Bolaños et al. 2016; Mechkaraska et al. 2018). Specifically, Proaño-Bolaños and co-authors characterized 15 peptides from *Cruziohyla calcarifer* (splendid leaf frog) skin secretion that had unique structural features, and as so were classified as part of a new family of antimicrobial peptides named cruzioseptins (Proaño-Bolaños et al. 2016). These peptides are cationic amphipathic compounds of 21–23 residues in length having low hemolytic activity and high antibacterial activity. Among the cruzioseptins evaluated, CSZ-1, which has greater hydrophobicity when compared to the others, was the most active, with a MIC of 3.77 μ M against Gram-positive bacteria (Proaño-Bolaños et al. 2016).

Herein, we evaluated the antiprotozoal activity of three synthetic cruzioseptins against promastigotes and amastigotes forms of *L. (L.) amazonensis* and *L. (V.) braziliensis*, two important species that cause cutaneous forms of leishmaniasis in the New World. Insights on the mechanism of action regarding peptides' membranolytic effects are also presented.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Peptide synthesis, purification and characterization

A total of three C-terminally amidated peptides belonging to cruzioseptins family (CZS-1: GFLDIVKGVGKVALGAVSKLF-NH₂, CZS-2: GFLDVIKHVGKAALGVVTHLINQ-NH₂ and CZS-3: GFLDVVKHIGKAALGAVTHLINQ-NH₂) were synthesized automatically by the Fmoc/t-butyl approach using an automated microwave peptide synthesizer (Liberty Blue; CEM Biosciences, Matthews, NC). Briefly, by using a cleavage cocktail, the amino acid side chain protecting groups and peptides were simultaneously deprotected and removed from the resin, respectively. The cruzioseptins were purified using a reverse phase high-pressure liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC; Prep 150 LC System; Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA) and further confirmed via matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectroscopy (MALDI-TOF MS Axima Confidence, Shimadzu Corp. Japan), as previously described by Proaño-Bolaños et al. (2016). All peptides used in this study were >95% pure. Stock solutions were prepared at 2 mM in phosphate buffered saline (PBS 1X; Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA, Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and stored at −20°C until use.

In silico physicochemical characterization

To investigate the structure–activity relationships, the basic physicochemical properties of synthetic cruzioseptins were analyzed by bioinformatic tools. The molecular mass was calculated by on line Peptide Mass Calculator v3.2 software. The charge (pH = 7.0) and pI were determined using the PepDraw tool ([http://www.tulane.edu/~\(biochem\)/WW/PepDraw/](http://www.tulane.edu/~(biochem)/WW/PepDraw/)). The grand average of hydropathy (GRAVY) value for each peptide sequence was estimated by GRAVY CALCULATOR (<http://www.gravy-calculator.de/>). The PEPTIDE CALCULATOR software was used to determine the ratio of hydrophilic residues/total number of residues. Additionally, the primary structures were compared using the Kalign multiple sequence alignment algorithm available in Expasy server (Madeira et al. 2019).

Parasites

Two *Leishmania* reference species were used in this study: *Leishmania (Leishmania) amazonensis* (IFLA/BR67/PH8) and *Leishmania (Viannia) braziliensis* (MHOM/BR/75/M2903). The parasites were routinely cultured as promastigotes at 25°C in medium 199 (Sigma-Aldrich, Merck KGaA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum, 10 mM adenine, 4 mM biotin, 1 M HEPES, 5 mM L-glutamine, 6 μ g/mL hemin and penicillin (100 U/mL)/streptomycin (100 μ g/mL; Amresco Inc., West Chester, PA, USA; complete medium 199). *L. (V.) braziliensis* cultures were supplemented with 2% human male sterile urine. Amastigotes were isolated and purified from BALB/c mice as previously described by Mendes et al. (2019).

Activity of cruzioseptins against promastigotes, lesion-derived amastigotes and macrophages

In vitro activity of cruzioseptins was initially evaluated against the promastigote stage. Parasites harvested in the early-logarithmic growth phase (5×10^6 /mL) were seeded in a 96-well microtiter plate containing 200 μ L of complete medium 199. After, cruzioseptins were added at final concentration of 0, 12.5, 25, 50, 75 and 100 μ M and incubated for 24 h at 25°C. *Leishmania's* viability was measured according to the reduction of cell metabolic activity using the MTT (3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide) colorimetric assay as described by Miguel et al. 2007. Briefly, stock solutions of the MTT reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, Merck KGaA) were prepared in PBS 1X at 5 mg/mL and kept at 4°C for up to 10 days. A total of 30 μ L of MTT solution was added to each plate well and incubated for 2 h at 25°C. Next, cell suspensions were lysed with 20 μ L of 20% sodium dodecyl sulphate (Sigma-Aldrich, Merck KGaA) for absorbance reading using the AgileReader Elisa Plate Reader (AvansBio, Avans Biotechnology, Taiwan). 50% effective concentration (EC₅₀) of each cruzioseptin was determined using a non-linear regression curve (software OriginLab 8.0), as previously reported by Craig et al. (2017).

The activity of cruzioseptins against the amastigote stage was firstly investigated using free amastigotes derived from mouse cutaneous lesions. In this case, an amastigote to promastigote differentiation assay was conducted with 1.6×10^6 parasites/mL incubated in 96 well-plate (Corning, Inc., New York, USA) containing 200 μ L of complete medium 199 and cruzioseptins at 0, 3.12, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 μ M for 72 h, 25°C. The number of differentiated promastigotes was estimated using a hemocytometer. Subsequent determination of the EC₅₀ values was performed as described above.

In order to assess the toxicity and intramacrophagic antileishmanial effect of the peptides, bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs) were obtained as previously described by Miguel et al. (2013). In brief, mice femur and tibia bones were isolated and precursor cells were flushed out from the bones lumen and recovered with 5.0 mL of RPMI 1640 (Sigma-Aldrich, Merck KGaA) medium containing 20% of L-929 fibroblasts culture supernatant, 20% fetal bovine serum, penicillin (100 U/mL) and streptomycin (100 µg/mL). BMDMs were cultured in Petri dishes (Corning, Inc.) for 7 days at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Fresh RPMI 1640 medium was added after the third day of culture. *In vitro* peptide toxicity towards host cells was determined by MTT cell viability (Miguel et al. 2007). BMDMs (5×10^5 cells/mL) were cultured into 96-well plates with 200 µL of medium RPMI 1640 for 3 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂. After cell adhesion, BMDMs were incubated with several concentrations of cruzioseptins (3.125–150 µM) or in its absence (untreated control cells) for 24 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The 50% cytotoxic concentration (CC50) was defined as the peptide concentration that inhibited 50% of BMDMs' growth when compared to untreated control cells. Pure DMSO-diluted amphotericin B (Sigma-Aldrich, Merck KGaA) was used for positive control of parasite killing as described (Miguel et al. 2007).

Moreover, the selectivity index (SI) was determined by dividing the BMDMs CC50 value by the EC50 value for *L. (L.) amazonensis* and *L. (V.) braziliensis* promastigotes and lesion-derived amastigotes.

In vitro infections

Intramacrophagic leishmanicidal effect was performed by incubating *Leishmania*-infected cells with different concentrations of cruzioseptins according to Parra et al. (2018). Briefly, 5×10^5 BMDMs/mL were cultured in 24-well plates with coverslips containing 500 µL RPMI 1640 medium for 3 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Adherent BMDMs were infected with stationary-phase promastigotes of *Leishmania* in a 1:10 ratio for 4 h at 34°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The excess of non-internalized promastigotes was removed by washing with warm PBS 1X. Afterwards, cruzioseptins were added at 0, 3.125, 12.5 and 50 µM and incubated for 24 h for at 34°C and 5% CO₂. Infections were washed with PBS 1X, fixed and stained using the Instant Prov kit (Newprov, Pinhais, Brazil). The number of intracellular parasites was determined by counting 300 BMDMs in three coverslips for each condition, from randomly chosen microscopic fields (1000× magnification; Leica LAS Core microscope system, Germany). The Ethics Committee on Animal Use of the University of Campinas (CEUA-UNICAMP) approved the experiments using infected animals (#3484-1 and #4951-1).

Fluorimetric evaluation of promastigote membrane permeability

The protocol for plasma membrane permeabilization was carried out according to Cohen et al. (1990). Approximately 10^7 cells/mL were resuspended in a buffer solution (10 mM C₆H₁₂O₆, 11 mM KCl, 140 mM NaCl and 75 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5). After the baseline was established, 10 µM ethidium bromide (EB; Sigma-Aldrich, Merck KGaA) was added and after 1 min, the volume equivalent to the EC50 of each synthetic cruzioseptin was added. Changes in permeability plasma membrane as a function of time were monitored continuously using a fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi F-2500, Japan) at 37°C (excitation = 590 nm, emission = 560 nm). Maximal fluorescence was achieved after the addition of 100 mM digitonin.




Figure 1. Heat map of the *in vitro* effect of cruzioseptins on *Leishmania* spp. promastigotes. Promastigotes (5×10^5 /mL) of *L. (V.) braziliensis* and *L. (L.) amazonensis* were treated with different concentrations of cruzioseptins for 24 h at 25°C. The color scale bar for the mortality percentage is shown at the top. The colors represent relative high (red) and low (blue) cell mortality. EC₅₀ ± standard deviation values are shown on the right column. Three independent experiments were performed in triplicate.

Statistical analysis

Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's Honest Significant Difference test were used for statistical analysis by using Prism 8 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Differences at $P < 0.05$ were considered significant.

RESULTS

In silico properties of cruzioseptins 1, 2 and 3

The three amidated peptides evaluated in this study share diverse biochemical properties (Table 1). Briefly, these peptides have similar length (21–23 aa), isoelectric points (10.65–10.89) and percentage of hydrophilic residues (22–24%). CZS-2 and CZS-3 have a charge of +2, while CZS-1 has a net charge of +3. The sequence similarity analysis of CZS-2 and CZS-3 revealed a high degree of shared amino acid identity (86.96%). Additionally, CZS-1 shares 66.67% and 61.90% identity with CZS-3 and CZS-2, respectively. The main difference between the three cruzioseptins is their hydrophobicity, expressed here by the GRAVY value. CZS-1 has a higher score indicating greater hydrophobicity.

Activity of cruzioseptins against *Leishmania* spp

In the first round of *in vitro* experiments, the leishmanicidal activity of synthetic peptides was determined against the promastigote forms. The parasite viability assay showed that the ability of MTT reduction to formazan was significantly affected by the exposure to different concentrations of the three cruzioseptins in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1). In general, CZS-1, -2 and -3 presented a parasitocidal effect on promastigote forms of *L. (L.) amazonensis* and *L. (V.) braziliensis* after 24 h in a micromolar range. *L. (V.) braziliensis* was more susceptible to

Table 1. Cruzioseptins biochemical features. The peptides share diverse properties and also amino acid sequence similarity. CZS-1 is the most cationic and hydrophobic peptide.

Peptides	Length	Theoretical mass (Da)	pI	Charge	GRAVY*	Hydrophilic/total residues**	Percent Identity Matrix (%)	
							CZS-1	CZS-2
CZS-1	21 aa	2117.60	10.89	+3	1.157	24%	100	61.90
CZS-2	23 aa	2428.90	10.65	+2	0.739	22%	61.90	100
CZS-3	23 aa	2400.85	10.65	+2	0.635	22%	66.67	86.96

*Grand average of hydropathicity.

**Ratio of hydrophilic residues/total number of residues.

the action of cruzioseptins, as evidenced by the calculated EC50 and lowest survival rate after incubation. CZS-1 showed greater antipromastigote activity for both species (EC50: 10.9 ± 0.36 and $51.4 \pm 0.84 \mu\text{M}$ for *L. (V.) braziliensis* and *L. (L.) amazonensis*, respectively).

Cruzioseptins showed activity against lesion-isolated amastigotes as well. In this case, amastigotes were allowed to differentiate into promastigotes, in the presence of each peptide, as a measurement of cell viability. A significant decrease in the number of viable parasites was observed (Fig. 2A). Similar to the antiprotozoal effects observed for continuously cultured promastigotes, cruzioseptins induced a greater decrease in the number of freshly differentiated promastigotes. Among the peptides, CZS-1 showed to be more active with EC50 values of 9.17 ± 0.72 and 13.15 ± 1.93 against *L. (V.) braziliensis* and *L. (L.) amazonensis*, respectively (Fig. 2B and C). Furthermore, when EC50 values were compared, lesion-derived amastigotes were 1.2 to 3.9-fold more susceptible to cruzioseptins than promastigotes.

Cytotoxicity of cruzioseptins on bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs)

The toxicity of the peptides against BALB/c BMDMs was evaluated after peptide incubation in a 2-fold serial dilution from 150 to $1.85 \mu\text{M}$. CC50 values varied from $112.34 \mu\text{M}$ (CZS-1) to $127.19 \mu\text{M}$ (CZS-3). The experimental results (CC50) in BMDMs showed values greater than all the EC50 determined for the promastigote and amastigote stages of both species. Selectivity index (SI) was estimated and CZS-1 showed SIs >10 for both promastigotes and amastigotes of *L. (V.) braziliensis* (Table 2).

Cruzioseptins reduces intracellular parasite burden

After assessing the activity of the peptides against axenic parasites, we investigated their effect in infected BMDMs. All peptides led to the reduction of the parasite burden in a dose-dependent manner, where CZS-1 was more effective than CZS-2 and CZS-3 ($P < 0.05$). Again, *L. (V.) braziliensis* was more sensitive to CZS-1, CZS-2 and CZS-3 than *L. (L.) amazonensis*, being more potent at $50 \mu\text{M}$ (86.6, 80.9 and 69.9% of amastigote number reduction in relation to untreated infections, respectively). Examples of photomicrographs illustrate the decrease of *L. (V.) braziliensis* and *L. (L.) amazonensis* amastigotes within BMDMs for CZS-1 at $50 \mu\text{M}$ (Fig. 3C). As expected, parallel assays included amphotericin B as positive controls for parasite killing, showing EC50 in the lower micromolar range of 0.07 ± 0.84 and $0.09 \pm 0.34 \mu\text{M}$ for intracellular *L. (V.) braziliensis* and *L. (L.) amazonensis* amastigotes, respectively.

Plasma membrane permeabilization induced by cruzioseptins

Our next step was to investigate whether these peptides could disturb parasite's plasma membrane integrity. In this case, promastigotes of *L. (V.) braziliensis* were incubated with ethidium bromide (EB) and each cruzioseptin at their EC50 in buffer solution for fluorescence detection (Fig. 4). Rapid EB incorporation for all the peptides was detected; with a slightly less marked permeability for CZS-3. No differences were detected among the peptides; a trend also observed after the addition of digitonin, a mild nonionic detergent used to allow the maximum incorporation of EB.

DISCUSSION

Antibacterial peptides with leishmanicidal properties have been characterized from a wide range of natural sources (Luque-Ortega and Rivas 2010; Marr, McGwire and McMaster 2012), including frog skin secretions (Mangoni et al. 2005; Renata et al. 2017). These samples contain different peptides and proteins, which can act as drug scaffolds with different biomedical applications (Proaño-Bolaños et al. 2019). Moreover, in recent years, peptide investigation has been increasingly proposed as a valuable shortcut for new leishmaniasis treatment options (Raja et al. 2017; Zahedifard and Rafati 2018). There is a significant difference between the numbers of characterized peptides from frogs tested against bacteria versus eukaryotic cells (Guerrero et al. 2004; Zampa et al. 2009). Many studies focus on bacteria, as the recent work by Proaño-Bolaños et al. (2019) that identified several antimicrobial peptides from *Agalychnis spurrelli*. Taking into account that the peculiar biochemical characteristics of antibacterial peptides have helped in the design of new leishmanicidal agents (Cobb and Denny 2010; McGwire and Kulkarni 2010), in the present work we investigated cruzioseptins' activity against *Leishmania* species that cause distinct forms of cutaneous leishmaniasis in South America.

Similar to other antibacterial peptides isolated from skin frog secretions, such as dermaseptin (Brand et al. 2013) and temporin (Abbassi et al. 2013), the cruzioseptins reported here have an antipromastigote and antiamastigote activity in the micromolar range. Interestingly, amastigotes were more sensitive to the peptides than promastigotes (Figs 1 and 2B, C). In a similar manner, melittin (Pereira et al. 2016), bombinins H2 and H4 (Mangoni et al. 2006) and 4-amino acid peptide KDEL (Cao et al. 2019) were more active against the intracellular stage. These data are relevant for drug screenings, as the amastigote is the clinically relevant stage of parasite (Alcântara et al. 2018).

In general, CZS-1 showed the lowest EC50 values when compared to CZS-2 and CZS-3. Considering the primary structures




Figure 2. In vitro effect of cruzioseptins on promastigote differentiated from lesion-derived amastigotes. (A) Schematic overview of the amastigote to promastigote differentiation assay. Promastigotes were obtained by *in vitro* conversion of amastigotes at 25°C in complete medium 199 after 72 h. Amastigotes of (B) *L. (V.) braziliensis* and (C) *L. (L.) amazonensis* were recovered from BALB/c mice lesion sites and cultured for 72 h in the absence or in the presence of the peptides CZS-1–3. The viability of amastigotes was measured by assessing the number of motile promastigotes using a hemocytometer for each condition. A total of two independent experiments were performed in triplicates. EC₅₀ ± standard deviation values are presented for each peptide in (B) and (C).

Table 2. Cruzioseptins' cytotoxicity against murine BMDMs and selectivity index. The viability of BMDMs was measured after exposure to different concentrations of cruzioseptins. Cytotoxic concentration for 50% of macrophagic cultures (CC₅₀) was expressed in the micromolar range (± standard deviation). The selectivity index (SI) represents the ratio between the CC₅₀ and EC₅₀ values for promastigotes and amastigotes upon incubation with CZS-1, -2 and -3.

Peptides	CC ₅₀ (μM) ± SD	Promastigotes		Lesion-isolated amastigotes	
		<i>L. braziliensis</i>	<i>L. amazonensis</i>	<i>L. braziliensis</i>	<i>L. amazonensis</i>
CZS-1	112.34 ± 0.2	10.28	2.18	12.25	8.54
CZS-2	119.82 ± 1.4	4.29	1.98	7.72	6.39
CZS-3	127.19 ± 0.3	3.15	1.69	4.46	3.54

and physicochemical characteristics of them, these data indicated that the contribution of hydrophobicity to their leishmanicidal activity must be taken into account (Table 1). This characteristic is supported by a previous study with magainins from the African clawed frog (Guerrero et al. 2004). Furthermore, it has been suggested that cationicity is also determinant for antiprotozoal activity (Rivas, Luque-Ortega and Andreu 2009). Feder, Dagan and Mor (2000) reported that a dual amino acid substitution in dermaseptin-S4 enhanced its effect against *L. major*. Among the investigated cruzioseptins, CZS-1 has a higher net load (+3), and showed higher activity against intracellular and extracellular forms of *Leishmania* spp in culture. CZS-2 and CZS-3 were less potent (higher EC₅₀), probably due to a lower presence of residues with positive side chains. On other hand, CZS-2 and CZS-3 have the same charge (+2), but did not show the same magnitude of antileishmanial effect, as observed in EC₅₀ determined by *in vitro* assays. Minimum differences in the hydrophobicity profiles of the peptides could explain the variation in their efficacy. This observation highlights the requirement for a hydrophobic character to interact with the parasite's membranes, as previously suggested (Khalili et al. 2019). In fact, with respect to the antileishmanial activity against promastigotes,

the uptake of EB and fluorescence increase upon incubation with the peptides point to a membrane disruption mode of action (Fig. 4). Our findings are in agreement with several studies using different membrane-damaging peptides, such as: cecropin A-aelittin hybrid peptides (Diaz-Achirica et al. 1998), temporins (Mangoni et al. 2005), brevinin (Zahedifard et al. 2019), bombinins (Mangoni et al. 2006) and 13-mer peptides based on phospholipase and oligoarginine (Mendes et al. 2019).

Investigation of a given compound's toxicity to macrophages and its ability to prevent the survival of intracellular amastigotes are key for finding potential leishmanicidal candidates (Alcântara et al. 2018). Regarding cruzioseptins' cytotoxicity, CC₅₀ values varied between 112.3 and 127.2 μM, which are higher than the EC₅₀ values determined for both forms of *Leishmania* spp, leading to good selectivity indexes, particularly for CZS-1 against *L. (V.) braziliensis* (Table 2). Infection images presented in Fig. 3C (b and d) also point to the maintenance of macrophages integrity after incubation with CZS-1 at 50 μM. Results with this peptide are similar or superior to further data considering leishmanicidal peptides, such as andropin (Perez-Cordero et al. 2011) and melittin (Pereira et al. 2016). The selectivity of peptides with dual antileishmanial and antibacterial




Figure 3. Evaluation of cruzioseptins' effect on intracellular amastigotes using a macrophage infection model. A significant decrease of amastigote intramacrophage survival was observed by incubation with peptides. The data were expressed as the mean $\pm$ SD and were analyzed by one-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test ($P < 0.05$). BMDMs were infected with (A) *L. (V.) braziliensis* and (B) *L. (L.) amazonensis*. (C): Representative images of untreated *L. (V.) braziliensis* (a) and *L. (L.) amazonensis* (c) infected BMDMs. It is possible to visualize a significant decrease in *L. (V.) braziliensis* (b) and *L. (L.) amazonensis* (d) intracellular parasites after incubation with CZS-1 at 50 μ M. Scale bar = 5 μ m. A total of two independent experiments were performed in triplicates.




Figure 4. Incorporation of DNA-binding fluorescent dye by promastigotes of *L. (V.) braziliensis* upon cruzioseptins incubation. Peptides at their EC50 were added to 10^7 cells/mL *Leishmania* suspensions. Changes on permeability parasite membrane were monitored during 600 s. The dye uptake was quantified by fluorescence spectroscopy (590 nm excitation, 560 nm emission). Digitonin was used to maximize the cell permeability to EB and fluorescence signal. Arrows indicate the addition of peptides (EC50) or digitonin.

activities and low toxicity for macrophages has been reported for other synthetic molecules from frog skin secretions (Brand et al. 2013; Pinto et al. 2013).

Leishmania-infected BMDMs treated with three cruzioseptins presented a significant decrease in intramacrophage survival of amastigotes (Fig. 3A and B). Less pronounced reductions in the number of intracellular amastigotes were observed for infected cells incubated with CZS-3. In contrast, CZS-1 and CZS-2 showed a similar reduction in intramacrophage parasite burden; unlike the higher CZS-1 leishmanicidal activity found axenic parasites. Earlier studies with other synthetic peptides have already addressed to these differences regarding the potency of leishmanicidal activity in cell culture and macrophage infection assays (Perez-Cordero et al. 2011; Marr et al. 2016).

The intracellular nature of amastigotes creates additional barriers and challenges for the leishmanicidal activity of peptides (Sundar and Singh 2018). In addition to the ability of cruzioseptins to disrupt surface-membrane of parasites, other

intramacrophagic and immune response mechanisms that interfere with key processes for the amastigote survival can be triggered by the peptides and remain to be explored. Some works have demonstrated the capacity of mammalian antimicrobial peptides to promote the pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokine release and to regulate the host's response to infection, such as cutaneous *Leishmania* infection (Bowdish et al. 2005; Kulka-mi et al. 2011; Erfe et al. 2012). In parallel, dermaseptin (Perez-Cordero et al. 2011), human neutrophil peptide-1 (Dabirian et al. 2013), melittin (Pereira et al. 2016) and croctamin (Katz et al. 2020) appear to exert their leishmanicidal effects through mechanisms that involve macrophage activation.

CONCLUSIONS

CZS-1, CZS-2 and CZS-3 were able to decrease the viability of extracellular and intracellular *Leishmania* spp. *in vitro* in a dose-dependent manner. These bioactive compounds significantly reduced intramacrophagic parasite infections. The peptide hydrophobicity appears to contribute to their antileishmanial action, which is related to membranolytic effects on promastigotes. Our results show that antibacterial cruzioseptins, mainly CZS-1 and CZS-2, deserve further investigation as promising peptide lead structures for generation of new drugs for the treatment of leishmaniasis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Results of this work are part of the project 'Conservation of Ecuadorian amphibian diversity and sustainable use of its genetic resources,' which involves MAE, Ikiam-Universidad Regional Amazónica, Queen's University Belfast, Centro Jambatu, Global Environmental Facility (GEF) and 'Programa de las Naciones Unidas para el Desarrollo' (PNUD). B.M. was recipient of a CAPES-DS fellowship (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior—Programa de Demanda Social).

D.C.M. thanks FAEPEX-Pró-Reitoria de Pesquisa (PRP)/UNICAMP for financial support (#519.292).

Conflicts of Interest. None declared.

REFERENCES

- Abbassi F, Raja Z, Oury B et al. Antibacterial and leishmanicidal activities of temporin-SHD, a 17-residue long membrane-damaging peptide. *Biochimie* 2013;**95**:388–99.
- Alcântara LM, Ferreira TCS, Gadelha FR et al. Challenges in drug discovery targeting TriTryp diseases with an emphasis on leishmaniasis. *Int J Parasit Drugs Drug Resist* 2018;**8**:430–9.
- Almeida JR, Palacios ALV, Patiño RSP et al. Harnessing snake venom phospholipases A₂ to novel approaches for overcoming antibiotic resistance. *Drug Dev Res* 2019;**80**:68–85.
- Bowdish DM, Davidson DJ, Scott MG et al. Immunomodulatory activities of small host defense peptides. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005;**49**:1727–32.
- Brand GD, Santos RC, Arake LM et al. The skin secretion of the amphibian *Phyllomedusa nordestina*: a source of antimicrobial and antiprotozoal peptides. *Molecules* 2013;**18**:7058–70.
- Burza S, Croft SL, Boelaert M. Leishmaniasis. *Lancet North Am Ed* 2018;**392**:951–70.
- Cao L, Jiang W, Cao S et al. In vitro leishmanicidal activity of antimicrobial peptide KDEL against *Leishmania tarentolae*. *Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai)* 2019;**51**:1286–92.
- Charlton RL, Rossi-Bergmann B, Denny PW et al. Repurposing as a strategy for the discovery of new anti-leishmanials: the state-of-the-art. *Parasitology* 2018;**145**:219–36.
- Cobb SL, Denny PW. Antimicrobial peptides for leishmaniasis. *Curr Opin Investig Drugs* 2010;**11**:868–75.
- Cohen BE, Benaïm G, Ruiz MC et al. Increased calcium permeability is not responsible for the rapid lethal effects of amphotericin B on *Leishmania* sp. *FEBS Lett* 1990;**259**:286–8.
- Craig E, Huyghues-Despointes C-E, Yu C et al. Structurally optimized analogs of the retrograde trafficking inhibitor Retro-2cycl limit *Leishmania* infections. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2017;**11**:e0005556.
- Dabirian S, Taslimi Y, Zahedifard F et al. Human neutrophil peptide-1 (HNP-1): a new anti-leishmanial drug candidate. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2013;**7**:e2491.
- Demori I, Rashed ZE, Corradino V et al. Peptides for skin protection and healing in amphibians. *Molecules* 2019;**24**:347.
- Díaz-Achirica P, Ubach J, Guinea A et al. The plasma membrane of *Leishmania donovani* promastigotes is the main target for CA(1-8)M(1-18), a synthetic cecropin A-melittin hybrid peptide. *Biochem J* 1998;**330**:453–60.
- Erfe MC, David CV, Huang C et al. Efficacy of synthetic peptides RP-1 and AA-RP-1 against *Leishmania* species in vitro and in vivo. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012;**56**:658–65.
- Feder R, Dagan A, Mor A. Structure-activity relationship study of antimicrobial dermaseptin S4 showing the consequences of peptide oligomerization on selective cytotoxicity. *J Biol Chem* 2000;**275**:4230–8.
- Fernández-Prada C, Douanne N, Minguez-Menendez A et al. Chapter 5 - Repurposed molecules: a new hope in tackling neglected infectious diseases. In: Roy K (ed). *In Silico Drug Design*. Academic Press, 2019, 119–60.
- Frezard F, Demicheli C, Ribeiro RR. Pentavalent antimonials: new perspectives for old drugs. *Molecules* 2009;**14**:2317–36.
- Giovati L, Ciociola T, Magliani W et al. Antimicrobial peptides with antiprotozoal activity: current state and future perspectives. *Fut Med Chem* 2018;**10**:2569–72.
- Gomes A, Giri B, Saha A et al. Bioactive molecules from amphibian skin: their biological activities with reference to therapeutic potentials for possible drug development. *Indian J Exp Biol* 2007;**45**:579–93.
- Guerrero E, Saugar JM, Matsuzaki K et al. Role of positional hydrophobicity in the leishmanicidal activity of magainin 2. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004;**48**:2980–6.
- Katz S, Barbieri CL, Soler FPM et al. Effect of isolated proteins from *Crotalus durissus terrificus* venom on *Leishmania (Leishmania) amazonensis*-infected macrophages. *Protein Pept Lett* 2020, DOI: 10.2174/0929866527666200129152954.
- Khalili S, Ebrahimzade E, Mohebbali M et al. Investigation of the antimicrobial activity of a short cationic peptide against promastigote and amastigote forms of *Leishmania major* (MHRO/IR/75/ER): An in vitro study. *Exp Parasitol* 2019;**196**:48–54.
- Kulkarni MM, Barbi J, McMaster WR et al. Mammalian antimicrobial peptide influences control of cutaneous *Leishmania* infection. *Cell Microbiol* 2011;**13**:913–23.
- Le Pape P. Development of new antileishmanial drugs—current knowledge and future prospects. *J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem* 2008;**23**:708–18.
- Luque-Ortega JR, Rivas L. Characterization of the leishmanicidal activity of antimicrobial peptides. *Methods Mol Biol* 2010;**618**:393–420.
- Madeira F, Park YM, Lee J et al. The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2019;**47**:W636–w641.
- Mangoni ML, Papo N, Saugar JM et al. Effect of natural L- to D-amino acid conversion on the organization, membrane binding, and biological function of the antimicrobial peptides bombinins H. *Biochemistry* 2006;**45**:4266–76.
- Mangoni ML, Saugar JM, Dellisanti M et al. Temporins, small antimicrobial peptides with leishmanicidal activity. *J Biol Chem* 2005;**280**:984–90.
- Marr AK, Cen S, Hancock RE et al. Identification of synthetic and natural host defense peptides with leishmanicidal activity. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2016;**60**:2484–91.
- Marr AK, McGwire BS, McMaster WR. Modes of action of Leishmanicidal antimicrobial peptides. *Future Microbiol* 2012;**7**:1047–59.
- Ma Y, Liu C, Liu X et al. Peptidomics and genomics analysis of novel antimicrobial peptides from the frog, *Rana nigrovittata*. *Genomics* 2010;**95**:66–71.
- McGwire BS, Kulkarni MM. Interactions of antimicrobial peptides with *Leishmania* and trypanosomes and their functional role in host parasitism. *Exp Parasitol* 2010;**126**:397–405.
- Mechkarska M, Coquet L, Leprince J et al. Peptidomic analysis of the host-defense peptides in skin secretions of the Trinidadian leaf frog *Phyllomedusa trinitatis* (Phyllomedusidae). *Comp Biochem Physiol Part D Genomics Proteomics* 2018;**28**:72–9.
- Mendes B, Almeida JR, Vale N et al. Potential use of 13-mer peptides based on phospholipase and oligoarginine as leishmanicidal agents. *Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol* 2019;**226**:108612.
- Miguel DC, Flannery AR, Mittra B et al. Heme uptake mediated by LHR1 is essential for *Leishmania amazonensis* virulence. *Infect Immun* 2013;**81**:3620–6.
- Miguel DC, Yokoyama-Yasunaka JKU, Andreoli WK et al. Tamoxifen is effective against *Leishmania* and induces a rapid alkalization of parasitophorous vacuoles harbouring *Leishmania (Leishmania) amazonensis* amastigotes. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2007;**60**:526–34.

- Parra LLL, Bertonha AF, Severo IRM et al. Isolation, derivative synthesis, and structure–activity relationships of antiparasitic bromopyrrole alkaloids from the marine sponge *Tedania brasiliensis*. *J Nat Prod* 2018;**81**:188–202.
- Pereira AV, de Barros G, Pinto EG et al. Melittin induces in vitro death of *Leishmania (Leishmania) infantum* by triggering the cellular innate immune response. *J Venom Anim Toxins Incl Trop Dis* 2016;**22**:1.
- Perez-Cordero JJ, Lozano JM, Cortes J et al. Leishmanicidal activity of synthetic antimicrobial peptides in an infection model with human dendritic cells. *Peptides* 2011;**32**: 683–90.
- Pinto EG, Pimenta DC, Antoniazzi MM et al. Antimicrobial peptides isolated from *Phyllomedusa nordestina* (Amphibia) alter the permeability of plasma membrane of *Leishmania* and *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *Exp Parasitol* 2013;**135**: 655–60.
- Proaño-Bolaños C, Blasco-Zuniga A, Almeida JR et al. Unravelling the skin secretion peptides of the gliding leaf frog, *Agalychnis saltator* (Hylidae). *Biomolecules* 2019;**9**:667.
- Proaño-Bolaños C, Zhou M, Wang L et al. Peptidomic approach identifies cruzioseptins, a new family of potent antimicrobial peptides in the splendid leaf frog, *Cruziohyla calcarifer*. *J Proteomics* 2016;**146**:1–13.
- Raja Z, Andre S, Abbassi F et al. Insight into the mechanism of action of temporin-SHa, a new broad-spectrum antiparasitic and antibacterial agent. *PLoS One* 2017;**12**:e0174024.
- Renata XC, Patrick VQ, Luciana ML et al. Antileishmanial and immunomodulatory effects of Dermaseptin-01, a promising peptide against *Leishmania amazonensis*. *Curr Bioact Compd* 2017;**13**:305–11.
- Rivas L, Luque-Ortega JR, Andreu D. Amphibian antimicrobial peptides and protozoa: Lessons from parasites. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes* 2009;**1788**:1570–81.
- Simões-Silva R, Alfonso J, Gomez A et al. Snake venom, a natural library of new potential therapeutic molecules: challenges and current perspectives. *Curr Pharm Biotechnol* 2018;**19**: 308–35.
- Sundar S, Singh B. Emerging therapeutic targets for treatment of leishmaniasis. *Expert Opin Ther Targets* 2018;**22**:467–86.
- Sunyoto T, Potet J, Boelaert M. Why miltefosine-a life-saving drug for leishmaniasis-is unavailable to people who need it the most. *BMJ Global Health* 2018;**3**:e000709–.
- Zahedifard F, Lee H, No JH et al. Anti-leishmanial activity of Brevinin 2R and its Lauric acid conjugate type against *L. major*: In vitro mechanism of actions and in vivo treatment potentials. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2019;**13**:e0007217.
- Zahedifard F, Rafati S. Prospects for antimicrobial peptide-based immunotherapy approaches in *Leishmania* control. *Expert Rev Anti-infect* 2018;**16**:461–9.
- Zampa MF, Araújo IMS, Costa V et al. Leishmanicidal activity and immobilization of dermaseptin 01 antimicrobial peptides in ultrathin films for nanomedicine applications. *Nanomed Nanotechnol Biol Med* 2009;**5**:352–8.