# Evolution of the outer ovule integument and its systematic significance in Melastomataceae

ANA PAULA SOUZA CAETANO<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, JOÃO PAULO BASSO-ALVES<sup>2</sup>, PRISCILA ANDRESSA CORTEZ<sup>3</sup>, VINÍCIUS LOURENÇO GARCIA DE BRITO<sup>4</sup>, FABIÁN ARMANDO MICHELANGELI<sup>5</sup>, MARCELO REGINATO<sup>5</sup>, RENATO GOLDENBERG<sup>6</sup>, SANDRA MARIA CARMELLO-GUERREIRO<sup>7</sup> and SIMONE PÁDUA TEIXEIRA<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia e Conservação de Recursos Naturais, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Uberlândia, Minas Gerais, Brazil
<sup>2</sup>Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Vegetal, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil
<sup>3</sup>Centro de Microscopia Eletrônica, Departamento de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Estadual de Santa Cruz, Ilhéus, Bahia, Brazil
<sup>4</sup>Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Federal de Uberlândia, Minas Gerais, Brazil
<sup>5</sup>Institute of Systematic Botany, The New York Botanical Garden, Bronx, New York, USA
<sup>6</sup>Departamento de Botânica, Setor de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal do Paraná, Centro Politécnico, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil
<sup>1</sup>Departamento de Biologia Vegetal, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil
<sup>8</sup>Departamento de Biologia Farmacêuticas, Faculdade de Ciências Farmacêuticas de Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil

Received 16 September 2016; revised 30 September 2017; accepted for publication 12 November 2017

Ovule characters have been shown to be informative at higher taxonomic levels and are therefore of interest in angiosperm systematics. In this study, we aimed to describe the evolution of the outer ovule integument thickness in Melastomataceae and to evaluate its systematic and biological significance. This character was investigated in 137 species, and data from the literature were compiled for 22 additional species, totalling 159 taxa. Integument origin was studied in selected species to clarify whether different conditions were associated with different ontogenetic origins. The most recent common ancestor of Melastomataceae probably had an ovule with a two-cell-layered outer integument. A multilayered outer integument evolved independently at least six times in the family. Moreover, reversals to the two-cell-layered plesiomorphic condition have also occurred more than once. The two-cell-layered and multilayered outer integument is of systematic significance in Olisbeoideae, *Rupestrea*, Melastomateae and Miconieae. The multilayered outer integument may have some adaptive significance for Miconieae and *Mouriri*, groups with endozoochoric fleshy fruits. Evidence of a multiplicative testa was observed in a few species with both types of integuments and it may be associated with a massive seed coat found in large seeds.

ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS: anatomy – embryology – flower – integument thickness – multilayered outer integument – two-cell-layered outer integument.

# INTRODUCTION

The ovule is the developmental precursor of the seed and, as such, plays an essential role in the complex and successful reproduction process in spermatophytes (Bouman, 1984; Gasser, Broadhvest & Hauser, 1998; Linkies *et al.*, 2010; Endress, 2011). In angiosperms, important reproductive events such as the female gametophyte and egg cell development, pollen tube attraction and guidance, double fertilization,

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: apscaetano@yahoo.com.br

and embryo and endosperm development all occur in the ovule (Fuentes & Vivian-Smith, 2009; Endress, 2011).

The ovule can be morphologically understood as the megasporangium (or nucellus) covered by one or two integuments (Linkies *et al.*, 2010). Gymnosperms typically have ovules with a single integument, whereas the ovules of angiosperms are commonly bitegmic (Gasser *et al.*, 1998; Endress, 2011). After fertilization, these integuments give rise to the seed coat, which in angiosperms comprises a testa and a tegmen, derived from the outer and inner integuments, respectively. The seed coat can be responsible for embryo protection and seed dispersal, and it is a key factor in the regulation of seed germination (Windsor *et al.*, 2000; Kelley & Gasser, 2009).

Despite the remarkable adaptive significance of the seed coat, the thickness (i.e. number of cell layers) of the integuments seems to have been a relatively stable character in angiosperm evolution and therefore to be informative at higher taxonomic levels (Davis, 1966; Tobe, 1989; Endress, 2011). Ovule integuments may consist of two or more cell layers (Bouman, 1984; Endress, 2011). Two-cell-layered integuments are characterized as dermal integuments because they develop exclusively from the dermal layer of the ovule primordium. In contrast, multilayered integuments may be of dermal origin or may derive from dermal and subdermal layers (subdermal integuments) (Bouman, 1984; Shamrov, 2000; Endress, 2011). Dermal or subdermal integuments can become multiplicative and increase in thickness by periclinal divisions during both ovule and seed development (Corner, 1976; Bouman, 1984).

The occurrence of ovules with a two-cell-layered inner integument is one of the embryological characters that define the order Myrtales (Tobe & Raven, 1983a; Tobe, 1989). On the other hand, the thickness of the outer integument is a variable character in the order (Tobe & Raven, 1983a). In Melastomataceae, one of the two largest families in Myrtales (Dahlgren & Thorne, 1984; Conti et al., 1997), the outer ovule integument may consist of two or more cell layers (Ziegler, 1925; Subramanyam, 1942, 1944, 1948, 1951; Etheridge & Herr, 1968; Corner, 1976; Tobe & Raven, 1983a; Medeiros & Morretes, 1996; Cortez & Carmello-Guerreiro, 2008; Caetano et al., 2013; Ribeiro, Oliveira & Silveira, 2015). However, the evolutionary history of this attribute in the family has not previously been studied. Moreover, despite the importance of integument characters for systematics, the scarcity of the data available for the family hampers their use in the delimitation of clades and understanding their relationships and their evolutionary and ecological significance.

Melastomataceae (including Memecylaceae currently treated as subfamily Olisbeoideae) comprises c. 5400 species in 170 genera and is one of the larger families of angiosperms, with considerable species richness in the New World (Renner, 1993; Conti et al., 1997; Stone, 2006; MELNet, 2007; Goldenberg et al., 2015). Despite the uncontroversial monophyly of the family, relationships in Melastomataceae are not fully understood and improving their resolution is still a work in progress (Clausing & Renner, 2001; Fritsch et al., 2004; Michelangeli et al., 2004, 2013; Penneys et al., 2010; Goldenberg et al., 2012; Rocha et al., 2016a, b; Veranso-Libalah et al., 2017). Although the latest review for the family based on morphology and anatomy (Renner, 1993) recognized nine tribes, recent studies based on DNA sequence data have proposed the establishment of new ones and considerable changes to the delimitation of others (Clausing & Renner, 2001; Fritsch et al., 2004; Michelangeli et al., 2004, 2011, 2013; Goldenberg et al., 2008, 2012, 2015; Amorim, Goldenberg & Michelangeli, 2009; Penneys et al., 2010; Penneys & Judd, 2011, 2013; Rocha et al., 2016a, b). At least 15 major lineages are currently known in the family, although not all of them are recognized at the tribal level (Goldenberg et al., 2015).

In this sense, knowledge of potentially diagnostic attributes for specific clades of Melastomataceae, such as the thickness of the outer ovule integument, may be of great significance. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to describe the evolution of this character in Melastomataceae, assessing its systematic and biological significance and clarifying whether different conditions (two-cell-layered and multilayered outer integument) are associated with different ontogenetic origins. Additionally, we also investigated the evolutionary association between multilayered outer integument and fleshy fruits.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

#### TAXON SAMPLING

The thickness of the ovule outer integument was examined in 137 species belonging to 14 of 15 major lineages currently recognized in Melastomataceae (*sensu* Goldenberg *et al.*, 2015). The only tribe not sampled in the present study was Astronieae. We also included published data for 22 additional species for a total of 159 taxa (Table 1) (Ziegler, 1925; Subramanyam, 1942, 1944, 1948, 1951; Etheridge & Herr, 1968; Corner, 1976; Medeiros & Morretes, 1996; Caetano, 2010; Caetano *et al.*, 2013; Ribeiro *et al.*, 2015). As outgroups, we added nine species of the CAP clade, a strongly supported lineage sister to

# 226 A. P. S. CAETANO ET AL.

Table 1. List of the Melastomataceae species analysed, status of the character observed and literature data; fruit type
(dry or fleshy) is also given

Groups		Species*	Character state	Reference <sup>†</sup>	Fruit type	Reference†
Outgroups	Crypteroniaceae	Axinandra zeylanica	Two-layered (2–3)	1	Dry	19
		Crypteronia paniculata	Two-layered (2)	2	Dry	19
		$Dactylocladus\ stenostachys$	Two-layered (2–3)	3	Dry	19
	Alzateaceae	Alzatea verticillata	Two-layered (2–4)	4	Dry	19
	Penaeaceae	Olinia emarginata	Multilayered~(3-4)	5	Fleshy	19
		Olinia ventosa	Multilayered~(3-5)	5	Fleshy	19
		Penaea mucronata	Two-layered (2)	6	Dry	19
		$Rhynchocalyx\ lawsonioides$	Two-layered (2)	7	Dry	19
		Saltera sarcocolla	Two-layered (2)	6	Dry	19
Olisbeoideae		Memecylon heyneanum	Two-layered (2)	8	Fleshy	20
		Memecylon umbellatum	Two-layered (2)	9	Fleshy	9
		Memecylon sp.	Two-layered (2)	9	Fleshy	20
		Mouriri acutiflora	Multilayered (5-6)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	20
		Mouriri cearensis	Multilayered (5–6)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	20
		Mouriri guianensis	Multilayered (5-6)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	20
		Votomita guianensis	Two-layered (2-4)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	20
Kibessieae		Pternandra azurea	Two-layered (2)	9	Fleshy	21
		Pternandra coerulescens	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	21
Henrietteeae		Bellucia grossularioides	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	22
		Bellucia mespiloides	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	22
		Henriettea ramiflora	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	22
		Henriettea saldanhae	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	22
		Henriettea succosa	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	22
Bertolonieae		Bertolonia mosenii	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	23
Blakeeae		Blakea trinervia	Two-layered (2)	9	Fleshy	23
		Blakea multiflora	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	24
		Chalybea calyptrata	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	24
		Chalybea ecuadorensis	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	24
Dissochaeteae		Dissochaeta divaricata	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	25
		Oxyspora paniculata	Two-layered (2)	10	Dry	25
		Sonerila wallichii	Two-layered (2)	11	Dry	26
Cyphostyleae		Allomaieta grandiflora	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	27
Triolena clade		Triolena amazonica	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	28
		Triolena obliqua	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	28
		Triolena paleacea	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	26
Cambessedesia	l.	Behuria glutinosa	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	29
clade		Cambessedesia espora	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	23
		Dolichoura spiritusanctensis	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	29
		Huberia consimilis	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	29
		Merianthera bullata	Two-layered (2–3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	29
		Merianthera parvifolia	Two-layered (2–3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	29
Rhexieae		Arthrostemma ciliatum	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Rhexia mariana	Two-layered (2)	12	Dry	30
Microlicieae		$Chaetostoma\ armatum$	Two-layered (2)	13	Dry	23
		Microlicia cordata	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	31
		Microlicia euphorbioides	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	23
		Microlicia fasciculata	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	23
		Poteranthera pusilla	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	32
		$Rhynchanthera\ grandiflora$	Two-layered (2)	PS	Dry	23

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{O}}$  2018 The Linnean Society of London, Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 2018, 186, 224–246

# Table 1. Continued

Groups		Species*	Character state	Reference <sup>†</sup>	Fruit type	Reference†
Melastomateae Marcetia alliance		Acanthella sprucei	Multilayered (3)	PS	Dry	30
		Aciotis purpurascens	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Acisanthera hedyotoidea	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Acisanthera quadrata	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Appendicularia thymifolia	Multilayered (3)	PS	Dry	30
		Comolia microphylla	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Ernestia glandulosa	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Macairea radula	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Marcetia taxifolia	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Nepsera aquatica	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Sandemania hoehnei	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Siphanthera hostmannii	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	33
	Core	Brachyotum ledifolium	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
	Melastomateae	Desmoscelis villosa	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Heterocentron elegans	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Melastoma malabathricum	Two-layered (2)	14	Fleshy	30
		Monochaetum calcaratum	Two-layered (2)	9	Dry	30
		Monochaetum ensiferum	Two-layered (2)	15	Dry	30
		Monochaetum meridense	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Osbeckia aspera	Two-layered (2)	8	Dry	26
		Osbeckia brachystemon	Two-layered (2)	8	Dry	26
		Osbeckia stellata	Two-layered (2)	8	Dry	25
		Pterolepis glomerata	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Tibouchina clavata	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Tibouchina clinopodifolia	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Tibouchina laevicaulis	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	30
		Tibouchina nodosa	Two-layered (2)	9	Dry	30
		Tibouchina semidecandra	Two-layered (2)	15	Dry	30
Merianieae		Axinaea dentata	Two-layered (2-3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	34
		Axinaea floribunda	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	34
		Axinaea grandifolia	Two-layered (2-3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	34
		Axinaea minutiflora	Two-layered (2-3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	34
		Graffenrieda gracilis	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	33
		Graffenrieda harlingii	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	28
		Graffenrieda latifolia	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	33
		Macrocentrum repens	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	35
		Meriania sclerophylla	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	33
		Meriania subumbellata	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	33
		Meriania urceolata	Two-layered (2-3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	33
Miconieae	Eriocnema clade	Eriocnema acaulis	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	31
		Eriocnema fulva	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	31
		Physeterostemon thomasii	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	36
	Miconia I	Miconia dodecandra	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	23
		Miconia superba	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	35
	Miconia II	Miconia centrodesma	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	23
	Leandra + Ossaea	Leandra reversa	Multilavered (3)	PS	Fleshy	23
		Ossaea capillaris	Multilavered (3)	PS	Fleshy	28
	Tococa	Tococa guianensis	Multilavered (3)	PS	Fleshy	35
	Caribbean	Charianthus alpinus	Two-layered (2)	PS	Fleshv	37
	Caribbean	Charianthus nodocue	Two-layered (9)	PS	Fleshy	37
		Tetrazvoja crotonifolia	Multilavered (2)	PS	Fleshy	38
		Tetrazvoja discolor	Two-layered (2)	PS	Fleshv	37
		Tetrazvoja elaeaonoides	Multilavered (3)	PS	Fleshy	37

# Table 1. Continued

Groups		Species*	Character state	Reference†	Fruit type	Reference†
	Monopodial	Clidemia mortoniana	Multilayered (3)	PS	Fleshy	39
	Clidemia	Clidemia spectabilis	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	39
	Conostegia	Conostegia bigibbosa	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	40
		Conostegia brenesii	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	40
		Conostegia consimilis	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	40
		Conostegia friedmaniorum	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	40
		Conostegia icosandra	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	40
		Conostegia lasiopoda	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	40
		Conostegia monteleagreana	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	40
		Conostegia schlimii	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	40
		Conostegia speciosa	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	40
		Conostegia subcrustulata	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	40
		Conostegia xalapensis	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	40
	Mecranium,	Mecranium acuminatum	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	41
	Anaectocalyx and	Mecranium latifolium	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	41
	allies	Miconia ciliata	Multilayered (3)	PS	Fleshy	39
		Tococa platyphylla	Multilayered (3)	PS	Fleshy	28
	Miconia III	Leandra subseriata	Multilavered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshv	28
		Miconia crocea	Multilavered (3)	PS	Fleshv	28
		Miconia denticulata	Multilavered (3)	PS	Fleshv	28
		Miconia goniostigma	Multilavered (3)	PS	Fleshv	28
		Miconia papillosa	Multilavered (3)	PS	Fleshy	28
		Miconia stenobotrys	Multilavered (3)	PS	Fleshy	42
		Miconia theizans	Multilavered (3)	PS	Fleshy	28
	Miconia IV (Miconia	Miconia budlejoides	Multilavered (3–4)	PS	Fleshy	23
	discolor subclade)	Miconia cabucu	Multilavered (6–7)	16	Fleshy	23
	,	Miconia capixaba	Multilavered (3–4)	PS	Fleshy	43
		Miconia castaneiflora	Multilavered (4)	PS	Fleshy	43
		Miconia chartacea	Multilavered (3–4)	PS	Fleshy	23
		Miconia cubatanensis	Multilavered (5)	PS	Fleshy	23
		Miconia discolor	Multilavered (3–4)	PS	Fleshy	23
		Miconia fasciculata	Multilavered (3)	PS	Fleshy	43
		Miconia hvemalis	Multilavered (3–4)	PS	Fleshy	43
		Miconia lymanii	Multilavered (4)	PS	Fleshy	43
		Miconia pepericarpa	Multilavered (3)	17	Fleshy	43
		Miconia sclerophylla	Multilavered (4)	PS	Fleshy	43
	Miconia IV	Miconia albicans	Multilavered (3)	18	Fleshy	23
		Miconia donaeana	Multilavered (3)	PS	Fleshy	43
		Miconia fallar	Multilavered (3)	17	Fleshy	23
		Miconia Jaevigata	Multilayered (3)	PS	Fleshy	28
		Miconia latecrenata	Multilayered (3)	PS	Fleshy	23
		Miconia leucocarna	Multilayered (3)	PS	Fleshy	23
		Miconia minutiflora	Multilavered (3)	PS	Fleshv	23
		Miconia ruhiginoga	Multilayered (3)	PS	Fleshy	23
		Miconia rufoscono	Multilavorod (2)	PS	Floghy	20
		Miconia serrulata	Multilayorod (3)	PS	Floghy	28
		Miconia stanostachua	Multilavorod (2)	PS	Floghy	20
		miconia sichosiachya	munnayereu (0)	I D	ricony	20

Groups		Species*	Character state	Reference <sup>†</sup>	Fruit type	Reference <sup>†</sup>
1	Miconia V	Leandra rufescens	Multilayered (3)	PS	Fleshy	35
		Miconia ceramicarpa	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	35
		Miconia chamissois	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	23
		Miconia ibaguensis	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	23
		Miconia inaequidens	Multilayered (3–4)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	23
		Miconia nervosa	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	28
		Miconia paucidens	Multilayered (4)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	23
		Miconia prasina	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	35
		Miconia pusilliflora	Multilayered (3–4)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	23
		Miconia rugosa	Multilayered (3–4)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	35
(	Clidemia	Clidemia hirta	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	23
1	Leandra s.s. +	Leandra aurea	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	23
	Pleiochiton	Leandra cardiophylla	Multilayered (3)	8	Fleshy	42
		Leandra melastomoides	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	23
		Ossaea amygdaloides	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	23
		Ossaea confertiflora	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	23
		Pleiochiton ebracteatum	Multilayered (3)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Fleshy	23
Unplaced species	s	Phainantha laxiflora	Two-layered (2)	$\mathbf{PS}$	Dry	35
		Rupestrea johnwurdackiana	Multilayered (4–5)	PS	Dry	44

#### Table 1. Continued

\*Authors for species names can be found in Supporting Information Appendix S1.

†References: 1, Tobe & Raven (1983b); 2, Tobe & Raven (1987a); 3, Tobe & Raven (1987b); 4, Tobe & Raven (1984a); 5, Tobe & Raven (1984b); 6, Tobe & Raven (1984c); 7, Tobe & Raven (1984d); 8, Subramanyam (1942); 9, Corner (1976); 10, Subramanyam (1951); 11, Subramanyam (1944); 12, Etheridge & Herr (1968); 13, Ribeiro et al. (2015); 14, Subramanyam (1948); 15, Ziegler (1925); 16, Medeiros & Morretes (1996); 17, Caetano (2010); 18, Caetano et al. (2013); 19, Dahlgren & Thorne (1984); 20, Morley (1976); 21, Maxwell (1981); 22, Penneys et al. (2010); 23, Martins et al. (2009); 24, Penneys & Judd (2013); 25, Jie & Renner (2007); 26, Triana (1871); 27, Michelangeli et al. (2011); 28, Wurdack (1980); 29, Goldenberg et al. (2012); 30, Michelangeli et al. (2016b); 33, Wurdack (1973); 34, Cotton, Borchsenius & Balslev (2014); 35, Berry et al. (2001); 36, Amorim et al. (2009); 37, Michelangeli et al. (2004); 38, Judd & Ionta (2013); 39, Almeda (2009); 40, Kriebel (2016); 41, Skean (1993); 42, Michelangeli et al. (2004); 34, Cotton, Borchsenius & Balslev (2016); 34, Michelangeli et al. (2004); 35, Berry et al. (2015); 78, present study.

Melastomataceae and formed by Crypteroniaceae, Alzateaceae and Penaeaceae *s.l.* (including Oliniaceae and Rhynchocalycaceae; Conti *et al.*, 2002; APG IV, 2016; Berger *et al.*, 2016). Embryological data for these species were obtained from literature reports (Table 1; Tobe & Raven, 1983b, 1984a, b, c, d, 1987a, b).

#### ANATOMICAL ANALYSIS

We sampled ovules from pre-anthesis buds or anthetic flowers. The samples were obtained from fixed material later preserved in ethanol or from rehydrated herbarium specimens (Appendix 1; Smith & Smith, 1942). The thickness of the outer ovule integument was characterized by counting the number of integument cell layers in transverse and/ or longitudinal sections of the median region of the ovule. The micropylar region was not considered for this definition because in this area the integumental cells may divide periclinally, forming additional layers (Subramanyam, 1942, 1948, 1951; Corner, 1976; Medeiros & Morretes, 1996; Caetano *et al.*, 2013; Ribeiro *et al.*, 2015). To assess the origin of multilayered outer ovule integument, some species were selected for observation of the initiation and development of the integuments (whether dermal or subdermal according to Bouman, 1984): Acisanthera quadrata Pers. (Melastomateae), Clidemia hirta (L.) D.Don, Leandra aurea (Cham.) Cogn., Miconia fallax DC., M. leucocarpa DC., M. pepericarpa Mart. ex DC. and Ossaea confertiflora (DC.) Triana (Miconieae). In these plants, we analysed ovules from flower buds in different developmental phases and from anthetic flowers. The material was fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde and 4% formaldehyde in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.8, for 24 h (modified from McDowell & Trump, 1976).

All samples were embedded in resin (Leica Microsystems, Heidelberg, Germany, and Heraeus Kulzer GmbH, Germany) and transversally and longitudinally sectioned with an AO Spencer 820 (GMI Inc., Ramsey, MN, USA) or RM 2245 (Leica) rotary microtome with a steel blade. Sections of c. 5.0  $\mu$ m were adhered to glass slides and stained with 0.05% Toluidine Blue in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 6.8 (O'Brien, Feder & McCully, 1964). Observations and photomicrographs



© 2018 The Linnean Society of London, Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 2018, 186, 224–246

were made with a DM 5000 light microscope coupled to a DFC 390 (both Leica Microsystems) digital camera or with a Zeiss Axioplan compound microscope with a Nikon DXM1200C digital camera.

The outer ovule integument was classified as two-cell-layered when formed by two cell layers or variations on this condition (two or three or two to four cell layers in the same ovule) or multilayered when consisting of three or more cell layers (Tobe, 1989; Endress, 2011).

#### PHYLOGENETIC RECONSTRUCTION

Tree inference and divergence time estimation were performed under a Bayesian framework implemented in BEAST 1.8.0 (Drummond et al., 2012). Molecular data for the species of interest were gathered from the NCBI database (GenBank), where the most common markers available across our sampled taxa were selected. The molecular data set includes six plastid and two nuclear markers, the plastome being represented by three intergenic spacers (accD-psaI, psbK-psbI and trnS-trnG), two protein-coding genes (ndhF and rbcL) and one intron (rpl16), and nuclear DNA being represented by two ribosomal spacers (nrETS and nrITS). GenBank accessions for all sequences included in this analysis are available in Supporting Information Appendix S2. Phylogenetic inference was performed on 160 terminals, including nine species from the Crypteroniaceae-Alzateaceae-Penaeaceae (CAP) clade treated as the outgroup (Conti et al., 2002; Berger et al., 2016). Individual loci were aligned with MAFFT 7.3 (Katoh & Standley, 2013) using the G-INS-i strategy. The best DNA partitioning scheme and models were estimated with PartitionFinder2 (Lanfear et al., 2012) under the Bayesian information criterion (BIC). The best scheme resulted in five partitions (accD-psaI, ndhF plus *rpl16*; nrETS; nrITS; *psbK-psbI* plus *trnS-trnG*; rbcL). The GTR+G model was recovered as the best fit for all partitions. The molecular clock prior was set to the lognormal uncorrelated model and the tree prior was set to the birth-death model. Fossil and secondary calibration constraints were placed in three nodes: (1) Melastomataceae crown (prior = lognormal, mean 1.5, SD 1, offset 56), based on the Palaeocene leaf fossil Melastomites montanensis R.W.Brown (Brown, 1962); (2) Rhexia Gronov. + Arthrostemma Pav. ex D.Don crown (prior = lognormal, mean 1.5, SD 1, offset 23), based on Miocene seed fossils (Collinson & Pingen, 1992); and (3) CAP clade crown (prior normal, mean 52.7, SD 6), based on the estimated age recovered in a wider

analysis of Myrtales (Berger et al., 2016). We performed three independent runs of 30 million generations each, sampling every 1000 generations, and the stable posterior distributions of the independent runs were combined with LogCombiner v.1.8.0. Convergence was assessed using Tracer v.1.6 (Rambaut et al., 2014), and runs were considered satisfactory with effective sample size (ESS) values greater than 200. A maximum clade credibility tree was generated with TreeAnnotator v.1.8.0. Overall, both topology and divergence times were congruent with recently published hypotheses (Penneys & Judd, 2005, 2013; Stone, 2006; Goldenberg et al., 2008, 2015; Penneys et al., 2010; Caddah, 2013; Michelangeli et al., 2013; Kriebel, Michelangeli & Kelly, 2015; Meirelles, 2015; Berger et al., 2016; Reginato, 2016; Rocha et al., 2016a).

#### ANCESTRAL STATE RECONSTRUCTION AND CORRELATED EVOLUTION

Ancestral state reconstruction of the outer integument thickness in Melastomataceae was inferred using stochastic character mapping. This character was treated as discrete and unordered, i.e. all transitions among the states of the character can occur at equal probabilities. The test for the correlated evolution of the outer integument thickness and fruit type in this family was performed using Pagel's model for binary characters (Pagel, 1994; Pagel & Meade, 2006). The fruit type considered (dry or fleshy) was based on literature (see Table 1). All analyses were carried out using the packages ape (Paradis, Claude & Strimmer, 2004) and phytools (Revell, 2012) in the R 3.2.5 environment (http://www.rproject.org/). To visualize phenotypic evolution on the trees, we mapped character histories across phylogenetic trees using a colour scheme (Revell, 2013).

#### RESULTS

#### INTEGUMENT INITIATION AND DEVELOPMENT

The multilayered outer integument was derived from both dermal and subdermal layers in Acisanthera quadrata, Clidemia hirta, Leandra aurea, Miconia fallax, M. leucocarpa, M. pepericarpa and Ossaea confertiflora. In all seven studied species, the initiation and development of the integuments occur simultaneously by means of periclinal divisions of epidermal cells located at the base of the ovule primordium, characterizing it as a subdermal integument (Fig. 1A-E).

**Figure 1.** Longitudinal sections showing the initiation (indicated by arrows) and development of the integuments in Melastomataceae species with a multilayered outer ovule integument. A–B, *Leandra aurea*. C, *Clidemia hirta*. D, *Miconia pepericarpa*. E, *Ossaea confertiflora*. F, *L. aurea*. G–H, *M. fallax*. I, *L. aurea*. J, *C. hirta*. K, *L. aurea*. L, *Miconia leucocarpa*. M, *M. pepericarpa*. N, *M. fallax*.



© 2018 The Linnean Society of London, Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 2018, 186, 224–246

The outer integument grows more rapidly than the inner one and extends beyond the apex of the nucellus first (Fig. 1F-H). However, when the megagametophyte starts its development, both integuments already cover the entire nucellus, forming a 'zig-zag' micropyle (Fig. 1I, J). Starting from this stage, the integuments extend, accompanying the growth of the rest of the ovule until its maturity (Fig. 1K-N).

#### OUTER OVULE INTEGUMENT THICKNESS

In ten of the 14 major lineages sampled, the species had only ovules with a two-cell-layered outer integument (Table 1; Fig. 2): Kibessieae (Fig. 3A), Henrietteeae (Fig. 3B, C), Bertolonieae (Fig. 3D), Blakeeae (Fig. 3E), Dissochaeteae, Cyphostyleae (Fig. 3F), *Triolena* Naudin clade (Fig. 4A), *Cambessedesia* DC. clade (Fig. 4B–D), Rhexieae (Fig. 4E) and Microlicieae (Fig. 4F).

In the *Cambessedesia* clade, although the outer integuments of *Merianthera bullata* R.Goldenb., Fraga & A.P.Fontana and *M. parvifolia* R.Goldenb., Fraga & A.P.Fontana are mostly two-cell-layered, punctual periclinal divisions led to the formation of a third cell layer in some regions of the ovule and indicate a multiplicative integument in *Merianthera* Kuhlm. (Fig. 4C, D).

Ovules with a multilayered outer integument occur in some species of the remaining groups: Olisbeoideae, Melastomateae, Merianieae and Miconieae (Table 1; Fig. 2). *Rupestrea johnwurdackiana* (Baumgratz & D'El Rei Souza) Michelang., Almeda, & R.Goldenb., not yet formally placed in any tribe in the family, also has a multilayered outer integument with four to five cell layers, possibly because of a multiplicative origin (Table 1; Figs 2, 5A, B).

In Olisbeoideae, the outer ovule integument is two-cell-layered in *Memecylon* L. and in *Votomita* guianensis Aubl. (Fig. 5C). In the latter taxon, this integument is multiplicative and its cells undergo periclinal divisions, forming up to four cell layers in the chalazal region (Fig. 5C). On the other hand, in all species of *Mouriri* Aubl. sampled the outer integument is multilayered, with four to six cell layers (Fig. 5D).

In Melastomateae, the outer integument is two-celllayered in species of core Melastomateae (Fig. 5E, F). It is multilayered with three cell layers in species of the *Marcetia* DC. alliance (Figs 5G, 6A, B).

In Merianieae, a two-cell-layered outer integument was observed in *Graffenrieda gracilis* (Triana) L.O.Williams, *G. harlingii* Wurdack (Fig. 6C), *G. latifolia* (Naudin) Triana and *Macrocentrum repens* (Gleason) Wurdack. An originally two-cell-layered integument, although with a third cell layer formed in some regions, occurs in Axinaea dentata E.Cotton (Fig. 6D), A. grandifolia (Naudin) Triana, A. minutiflora E.Cotton and Meriania urceolata Triana (Fig. 6E). In Axinaea floribunda (Naudin) Triana, Meriania sclerophylla (Naudin) Triana (Fig. 6F) and M. subumbellata Cogn., the outer integument is multilayered, with three cell layers.

Most species of Miconieae have ovules with multilayered outer integuments (Table 1) (Fig. 7A-C). The thickness of this integument ranges from three to seven cell layers, but three layers were most frequent (Table 1) (Fig. 7A). More than three cell layers were observed only in species of the Miconia Ruiz & Pay. IV clade (Fig. 7B) and in the *Miconia* V grade (Fig. 7C) (Table 1), with evidence of a multiplicative integument. The two-cell-layered condition was observed only in the Eriocnema Naudin and Conostegia D.Don clades and in the Caribbean and *Miconia* V grades. In the Eriocnema clade, the outer integument is twocell-layered in Physeterostemon thomasii Amorim, Michelangeli & Goldenb. (Fig. 7D), but multilayered with three cell layers in Eriocnema acaulis Triana (Fig. 7E) and E. fulva Naudin. All species belonging to the Conostegia clade have ovules with a two-cell-layered outer integument (Fig. 7F, G). In the Caribbean grade, Charianthus alpinus (Sw.) R.A.Howard, C. nodosus (Desr.) Triana (Fig. 7H) and Tetrazygia discolor (L.) DC. (Fig. 7I) have two-cell-layered outer integuments, whereas in Tetrazygia crotonifolia (Desr.) DC. (Fig. 7J) and T. elaegnoides (Sw.) DC. it is multilayered, with three cell layers. In the Miconia V grade, a two-cell-layered outer integument was observed only in M. ceramicarpa (DC.) Cogn.

#### ANCESTRAL STATE RECONSTRUCTION

Stochastic mapping analyses indicate the most recent common ancestor of Melastomataceae as probably having an ovule with a two-cell-layered outer integument. Ovules with a multilayered outer integument evolved independently at least six times: (1) in the ancestor of *Mouriri*; (2) in the ancestor of *Rupestrea* R.Goldenb., Almeda & Michelang.; (3) in the ancestor of the *Marcetia* alliance; (4) in the ancestor of a clade in Merianieae; (5) in *Axinaea floribunda*; and (6) in the ancestor of Miconieae. However, multiple reversals from multilayered to twocell-layered integuments apparently also occurred independently in Merianieae in *Meriania urceolata* and in Miconieae in *Physeterostemon* R.Goldenb. &

**Figure 2.** Stochastic mapping of outer ovule integument thickness onto the resulting phylogenetic tree of Melastomataceae from the Bayesian analysis using six plastid and two nuclear makers. Red corresponds to the multilayered status, and blue to the two-cell-layered status. Changes in colour along the branches indicate transitions between characters states. Mya, million years ago; Pli, Pliocene; Qa, Quartenary.

Amorim, in a small clade in the Caribbean grade, in the *Conostegia* clade and in *Miconia ceramicarpa* (Fig. 2). A test for correlated evolution showed that outer ovule integument thickness is not significantly correlated with fruit type in the family (Fig. 8; likelihood ratio: 2.1037; P = 0.35).

## DISCUSSION

#### DIFFERENT ONTOGENETIC PATHWAYS GAVE ORIGIN TO THE OUTER INTEGUMENT IN MELASTOMATACEAE

Multilayered ovule integuments may originate from the dermal layer and become thicker by periclinal division of epidermal cells (dermal integuments), or from both the dermal and the subdermal layers (subdermal integuments) (Bouman, 1984; Endress, 2011). At least in Miconieae and in the Marcetia alliance (Melastomateae), the multilayered outer integument is classified as 'subdermal' because it derives from the dermal and subdermal layers of the ovule primordium (Bouman, 1984; Shamrov, 2000). This pattern contrasts with the dermal origin typically observed in species with a two-cell-layered outer integument (Bouman, 1984; Endress, 2011), a condition that is found in most Melastomataceae. Although dermal and subdermal integuments can become multiplicative and produce multilavered integuments, the different ontogenetic patterns observed support the distinct nature of these two character states (two-cell-layered and multilayered outer integument) and their use in the delimitation of clades in Melastomataceae.

# OUTER OVULE INTEGUMENT THICKNESS AS A TAXONOMIC MARKER FOR MELASTOMATACEAE CLADES

During the evolutionary history of Melastomataceae, the thickness of the outer ovule integument has apparently remained relatively stable, enabling us to recognize many clades in the family. Ovules with a two-cell-layered outer integument are probably a plesiomorphic condition in Melastomataceae and occur in most of the analysed lineages. However, ovules with a multilayered outer integument evolved independently in *Mouriri*, *Rupestrea*, the *Marcetia* alliance, Merianieae and Miconieae. Moreover, reversals to the plesiomorphic two-cell-layered integument condition have also occurred more than once.

In Olisbeoideae, *Mouriri* differs from *Memecylon* and *Votomita* Aubl. by having a multilayered outer integument. Molecular data support each of these genera as monophyletic (as well as three other genera in the subfamily) and show that the Neotropical genera *Mouriri* and *Votomita* are sister groups (Stone, 2006, 2014). Additional data on the outer integument thickness of *Lijndenia* Zoll. & Moritzi, *Spathandra* Guill. & Perr. and *Warneckea* Gilg are necessary to establish whether the thick outer integument found in Olisbeoideae is a single condition observed in *Mouriri* or if it is homoplastic. Regardless, this character state could be used to separate *Mouriri* from *Votomita* from an embryological standpoint.

The presence of a multilayered and considerably thick outer integument characterizes and delimits *Rupestrea*. This genus of two species was positioned as sister to Microlicieae in our analysis, although recently placed with low support as sister to the Microlicieae + Melastomateae + Rhexieae clade (Goldenberg *et al.*, 2015).

In Melastomateae, the three-layered outer integument is a synapomorphy for the Marcetia alliance as species in core Melastomateae and the closely associated tribes Rhexieae and Microlicieae have two cell layers, although Rupestrea has a multilayered integument. Microlicieae, Rhexieae, the Marcetia alliance and core Melastomateae form a large monophyletic group and share stamens with elongated pedoconnectives and capsular fruits, but their relationships are still being debated (Michelangeli et al., 2013; Rocha et al., 2016a). So far, the Marcetia alliance has been characterized by tetramerous flowers (with some exceptions), a tetralocular ovary (or reduced to two or three locules), absence of crown hairs on the ovary apex, and by cochleate, ovate or lacrimiform seeds (Michelangeli et al., 2013; Rocha et al., 2016a).

The considerable variation in the outer ovule integument thickness and the absence of a broad sampling in Merianieae limit the utility of our data for systematic conclusions at this time. In this tribe, although the shape of the calyx and stamens has been traditionally used in taxonomy, these floral characters have proved to be poorly informative for the circumscription of the more diverse genera (Mendoza-Cifuentes & Fernández-Alonso, 2010, 2012). In this respect, embryological characters such as the one tested here can provide important information for clade delimitation. The variation detected in Merianieae indicates that this character should be considered and more extensively investigated in future systematic studies on the tribe.

Finally, in Miconieae, despite the considerably frequent multilayered condition, ovules with a twocell-layered outer integument delimit the genus *Physeterostemon* and two clades. Molecular data indicate that *Physeterostemon* is a well-supported monophyletic genus sister to *Eriocnema*, the two forming a clade sister to the remaining Miconieae (Amorim et al., 2009; Goldenberg et al., 2015). *Physeterostemon* also differs from *Eriocnema* by its inferior ovary (Cogniaux, 1891; Fritsch et al., 2004; Goldenberg & Amorim, 2006; Amorim et al., 2009; Amorim, Jardim & Goldenberg, 2014). Both *Eriocnema* and *Physeterostemon* have capsular fruits, different from the fleshy fruits found in other Miconieae (Amorim et al., 2009).



**Figure 3.** Transverse (A–D and F) and longitudinal (E) sections showing ovules of Melastomataceae species belonging to tribes that exhibit exclusively a two-cell-layered outer integument. A, *Pternandra coerulescens* (Kibessieae). B, *Bellucia grossularioides* (Henrietteeae). C, *Henriettea ramiflora* (Henrietteeae). D, *Bertolonia mosenii* (Bertolonieae). E, *Chalybea ecuadorensis* (Blakeeae). F, *Allomaieta grandiflora* (Cyphostyleae). Outer integument cell layers are indicated by four-point stars and inner integument cell layers by three-point stars. Nu, nucellus; OI, outer integument; II, inner integument; VB, vascular bundle. Scale bars = 10 µm.

In the Caribbean grade, the clade with *Charianthus* D.Don and *Tetrazygia discolor* differs from the remaining species of *Tetrazygia* Rich. ex DC. by

having a two-cell-layered outer integument. This clade stands out from the grade because of its biogeography, consisting of endemic species of the Lesser Antilles



 $\textcircled{0} 2018 \ \text{The Linnean Society of London}, \textit{Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society}, 2018, 186, 224-246 \ \textcircled{0}$ 

(Michelangeli *et al.*, 2008), but the relationships in the group remain undefined (Penneys & Judd, 2005; Goldenberg *et al.*, 2008).

The two-cell-layered condition of the outer ovule integument can be seen as a synapomorphy for the *Conostegia* clade because its sister group, the monopodial *Clidemia* D.Don clade, has a multilayered outer integument. The *Conostegia* clade, which included species of *Clidemia*, *Conostegia* and *Miconia* (currently recognized as *Conostegia*) (Kriebel, 2016), is well supported by molecular analyses, but thus far no morphological or anatomical character has been found to diagnose the group (Kriebel *et al.*, 2015).

## RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE MULTILAYERED OUTER INTEGUMENT AND FLESHY FRUITS IN MELASTOMATACEAE

Although we hypothesized that the outer ovule integument thickness would be correlated with fruit type, the results failed to achieve significance. Nonetheless, ancestral state reconstructions show a pattern in which a multilayered outer integument tends to occur more frequently among clades with fleshy fruits, such as the Miconieae and *Mouriri* (Fig. 8). Although a lack of significance in this kind of analysis might be related to the small number of changes in character states over the phylogeny (Felsenstein, 1985), the results may also indicate a more complex scenario for the evolution of this anatomical character.

Fleshy fruits in Melastomataceae are mainly dispersed by endozoochory by birds, but also by other animals such as rats, bats, monkeys and fishes (Magnusson & Sanaiotti, 1987; Renner, 1989; Stiles & Rosselli, 1993; Ellison *et al.*, 1993; Galetti & Stotz, 1996; de Figueiredo & Longatti, 1997; Garcia, Rezende & Aguiar, 2000; Lapenta & Procópio-de-Oliveira, 2008; Maruyama, *et al.*, 2013). Since the seed testa is the mechanical layer responsible for the protection of the embryo in the family (Corner, 1976; Cortez & Carmello-Guerreiro, 2008), the presence of more layers in the outer ovule integument may correspond to more sclerified layers in the seed coat, which in turn could make this seed coat thicker and more rigid. In *Miconia albicans* (Sw.) Triana, for

example, the three-layered outer ovule integument gives rise to the testa, which consists of an exotesta of sclerified palisade cells covering the meso- and endotesta with cuboidal sclerified cells (Cortez & Carmello-Guerreiro, 2008). Endozoochory has been frequently related to a resistant seed coat (Baskin & Baskin, 2014), responsible for the protection of the embryo and of other seed components during passage through the digestive tract of animals (Boesewinkel & Bouman, 1984; Mohamed-Yasseen et al., 1994). Thus, the presence of an additional layer in the outer ovule integument may have been an important evolutionary step, particularly in Miconieae and Mouriri. Apart from these clades, endozoochoric fleshy fruits have also evolved independently in Blakeeae, Dissochaeteae and Henrietteeae (Renner, 1989; Stiles & Rosselli, 1993; Clausing, Meyer & Renner, 2000; Penneys et al., 2010), all tribes with a two-cell-layered outer ovule integument, proving that the multilayered condition is not strictly associated with fleshy fruits. In addition to the multilayered outer integument, the presence of a multiplicative integument can also lead to thicker seed coats, as reported for *Memecylon* (Olisbeoideae) (Corner, 1976). In Blakea trinervia L. (Blakeeae), the seed coat is apparently non-multiplicative (Corner, 1976), but we do not know whether this pattern is repeated through the entire tribe. There is no anatomical information on the seed coat structure for Dissochaeteae and Henrietteeae.

The relationship between ovules with a multilayered outer integument and fleshy fruits seems to occur in the sister group of Melastomataceae. Crypteroniaceae, Alzateaceae and Penaeaceae mostly have ovules with a two-cell-layered outer integument and capsular fruits (Meijer, 1972; Tobe & Raven, 1983b, 1984a, c, d, 1987a, b; Conti *et al.*, 2002). The only exception is *Olinia* Thunb. (Penaeaceae), with multilayered outer integument and fleshy fruits (Rao & Dahlgren, 1969; Tobe & Raven, 1984b; Conti *et al.*, 2002).

#### MULTIPLICATIVE OUTER INTEGUMENT AND LARGE SEEDS IN MELASTOMATACEAE

Indications of a multiplicative integument were observed in *Votomita* (Olisbeoideae), in species of the

**Figure 4.** Transverse (A, C and E–F) and longitudinal (B, D) sections showing ovules of Melastomataceae species belonging to tribes or clades that exhibit exclusively a two-cell-layered outer integument. A, *Triolena obliqua (Triolena* clade). B, *Cambessedesia espora (Cambessedesia* clade). C, *Merianthera bullata (Cambessedesia* clade). D, *Merianthera parvifolia (Cambessedesia* clade). In C and D, punctual periclinal divisions may give rise to a third layer in certain regions of the outer integument (arrows). E, *Arthrostemma ciliatum* (Rhexieae). F, *Microlicia cordata* (Microlicieae). Outer integument cell layers are indicated by four-point stars and inner integument cell layers by three-point stars. Nu, nucellus; OI, outer integument; II, inner integument; VB, vascular bundle. Scale bars = 10 μm.



**Figure 5.** Longitudinal (A–E) and transverse (F–G) sections showing ovules of *Rupestrea* and Melastomataceae species belonging to tribes that exhibit variation in outer integument thickness. A–B, multilayered integument consisting of four to five cell layers in *Rupestrea johnwurdackiana*. C, two-cell-layered integument of *Votomita guianensis* (Olisbeoideae) with a thicker calazal region (arrow). D, multilayered integument of *Mouriri cearensis* (Olisbeoideae). Two-cell-layered integument in species of core Melastomateae (Melastomateae). E, *Heterocentron elegans*; F, *Tibouchina clavata*; G, multilayered integument with three cell layers in *Aciotis purpurascens* (*Marcetia* alliance, Melastomateae). Outer integument cell layers are indicated by four-point stars and inner integument cell layers by three-point stars. Nu, nucellus; OI, outer integument; II, inner integument; VB, vascular bundle. Scale bars = 10 µm.



**Figure 6.** Transverse (A and C–F) and longitudinal (B) sections showing ovules of Melastomataceae species belonging to tribes that exhibit variation in outer integument thickness. Multilayered integument with three cell layers in species of the *Marcetia* alliance, Melastomateae: A, *Ernestia glandulosa*; B, *Nepsera aquatica*. Outer integument thickness in Merianieae: C, *Graffenrieda harlingii*; D, *Axinaea dentata*; E, *Meriania urceolata*; F, *Meriania sclerophylla*. Outer integument cell layers are indicated by four-point stars and inner integument cell layers by three-point stars. Nu, nucellus; OI, outer integument; II, inner integument; VB, vascular bundle. Scale bars = 10 µm.

Miconia discolor subclade and of the Miconia V grade (Miconieae), in Merianthera (Cambessedesia clade) and in Rupestrea. In general, ovules that become large seeds have multiplicative integuments, which give rise to thicker and massive seed coats (Corner, 1976; Boesewinkel & Bouman, 1995). We presume that this relationship also exists in different lineages of Melastomataceae, independent of the initial condition, as it was found in species with multilayered and twocell-layered outer ovule integuments.

Olisbeoideae are known to have few ovules that will develop into one or several large seeds (Morley, 1976, 1999; Bremer, 1981; Clausing & Renner, 2001). In this subfamily, in addition to the multiplicative



**Figure 7.** Longitudinal (A–C and G) and transverse (D–F and H–J) sections showing ovules of Melastomataceae species belonging to the Miconieae tribe. A, multilayered integument with three cell layers in *Clidemia hirta*. B, multilayered integument with five cell layers in *Miconia cubatanensis*. C, multilayered integument with three to four cell layers in *Miconia inaequidens*. D, two-cell-layered integument in *Physeterostemon thomasii*. E, multilayered integument with three cell layers in *Eriocnema acaulis*. Two-cell-layered integument in species of the *Conostegia* clade: F, *Conostegia lasiopoda*; G, *Conostegia subcrustulata*. H, two-cell-layered integument in *Charianthus nodosus*. I, two-cell-layered integument in *Tetrazygia discolor*. J, multilayered integument with three cell layers in *Tetrazygia crotonifolia*. Outer integument cell layers are indicated by four-point stars and inner integument cell layers by three-point stars. Nu, nucellus; OI, outer integument; II, inner integument; VB, vascular bundle. Scale bars = 10 µm.





integument detected in *Votomita*, the same structure has been reported for *Mouriri* and *Memecylon* (Corner, 1976).

In Miconieae, a multiplicative integument consisting of more than three cell layers is a recurrent condition exclusively detected in species belonging to the Miconia discolor subclade (Miconia IV clade) and the Miconia V grade. The Miconia discolor subclade and some species of the Miconia V grade are notable for their fruits with few large seeds (Goldenberg, 1999; Martins et al., 2009; Caddah, 2013; Ocampo & Almeda, 2013; Ocampo, Michelangeli & Almeda, 2014), which differ from fruits with numerous minuscule seeds observed in most other species of Miconieae (Baumgratz, 1985; Groenendijk, Bouman & Cleef, 1996; Bécquer, Michelangeli & Borsch, 2014; Ocampo et al., 2014). A similar relationship between multiplicative integument and seed size occurs in *Rupestrea*, with a few large seeds in the ovary (Goldenberg et al., 2015).

# CONCLUSIONS

We studied the evolution of the outer ovule integument thickness in Melastomataceae, reporting this condition in a large number of species. In addition, we showed that two-cell-layered and multilayered integuments have different ontogenetic origins. The results indicate that the outer ovule integument thickness is relatively stable in the family, but the variations observed in Olisbeoideae, *Rupestrea*, Melastomateae and Miconieae are of systematic value for the groups. Finally, there is a tendency of association between the multilayered integument and fleshy fruits in Miconieae and *Mouriri*, and between multiplicative integument and large seeds in a few members of the family.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Structural Botany Laboratory of the New York Botanical Garden for technical support, Ricardo Kriebel and Julien Bachelier for useful suggestions on the manuscript, and Fabiana dos Santos Oliveira and Lucas Simon Torati for help in obtaining some samples. We also thank an anonymous reviewer for valuable comments that improved the manuscript. This study was financially supported by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (Fapesp – process numbers 2008/10793-0, 2010/15077-0 and 2013/08945-4). S.P.T. is indebted to Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (process number 303493/2015-1) for the fellowship received. Portions of the research were also funded by the National Science Foundation (DEB-0818399).

#### REFERENCES

- Almeda F. 2009. Melastomataceae. In: Davidse G, Sousa M, Knapp S, Chang F, eds. *Flora Mesoamerica*. Mexico City: Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, 180–337.
- **Amorim AM, Goldenberg R, Michelangeli FA. 2009.** A new species of *Physeterostemon* (Melastomataceae) from Bahia, Brazil, with notes on the phylogeny of the genus. *Systematic Botany* **34:** 324–329.
- Amorim AM, Jardim JG, Goldenberg R. 2014. Physeterostemon gomesii (Melastomataceae): the fourth species of this endemic genus in Bahia, Brazil. Phytotaxa 175: 45-50.
- APG IV. 2016. An update of the Angiosperm Phylogeny Group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: APG IV. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 181: 1–20.
- **Baskin CC, Baskin JM. 2014.** Seeds: ecology, biogeography, and evolution of dormancy and germination. San Diego: Elsevier Academic Press.
- Baumgratz JFA. 1985. Morfologia dos frutos e sementes de Melastomatáceas brasileiras. Arquivos do Jardim Botânico do Rio de Janeiro 27: 113–155.
- **Bécquer ER, Michelangeli FA, Borsch T. 2014.** Comparative seed morphology of the Antillean genus *Calycogonium* (Melastomataceae: Miconieae) as a source of characters to untangle its complex taxonomy. *Phytotaxa* **166**: 246–258.
- Berger BA, Kriebel R, Spalink D, Sytsma KJ. 2016. Divergence times, historical biogeography, and shifts in speciation rates of Myrtales. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **95**: 116–136.
- Berry PE, Gröger A, Holst BK, Morley T, Michelangeli FA, Luckana NG, Almeda F, Renner SS, Freire-Fierro A, Robinson OR, Yatskievych K. 2001. Melastomataceae. In: Berry PE, Holst BK, Yatskievych K, eds. Flora of the Venezuelan Guayana. Vol. 6. Liliaceae – Myrsinaceae. St Louis: Missouri Botanical Garden Press, 263–528.
- **Boesewinkel FD, Bouman F. 1984.** The seed: structure. In: Johri BM, ed. *Embryology of angiosperms*. Berlin: Springer, 567–610.
- Boesewinkel FD, Bouman F. 1995. The seed: structure and function. In: Kigel J, Galili G, eds. *Seed development and germination*. New York: CRC Press, 1–24.
- Bouman F. 1984. The ovule. In: Johri BM, ed. Embryology of angiosperms. Berlin: Springer, 123–153.
- Bremer K. 1981. Seeds and embryos in Sri Lanka (Ceylonese) species of *Memecylon*, with notes on *Spathandra* (Melastomataceae). *Nordic Journal of Botany* 1: 62–65.
- Brown RW. 1962. Paleocene flora of the Rocky Mountains and Great Plains. United States Geological Survey Professional 375: 1–119.
- Caddah MK. 2013. Estudos taxonômicos e filogenéticos em Miconia sect. Discolor (Melastomataceae, Miconieae).

Unpublished D. Phil. Thesis, Universidade Estadual de Campinas.

- Caetano APS. 2010. Apomixia e reprodução sexuada em espécies de Miconia Ruiz & Pavón, Melastomataceae. Unpublished D. Phil. Thesis, Universidade Estadual de Campinas.
- Caetano APS, Simão DG, Carmo-Oliveira R, Oliveira PE. 2013. Diplospory and obligate apomixis in *Miconia albicans* (Miconieae, Melastomataceae) and an embryological comparison with its sexual congener *M. chamissois*. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* **299**: 1253–1262.
- Clausing G, Meyer K, Renner SS. 2000. Correlations among fruit traits and evolution of different fruits within Melastomataceae. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 133: 303–326.
- Clausing G, Renner SS. 2001. Molecular phylogenetics of Melastomataceae and Memecylaceae: implications for character evolution. *American Journal of Botany* 88: 486-498.
- Cogniaux A. 1891. Melastomaceae. In: De Candolle ALPP, De Candolle CP, eds. *Monographiae Phanerogamarum*. Paris: Masson, 1–1256.
- **Collinson ME, Pingen M. 1992.** Seeds of the Melastomataceae from the Miocene of Central Europe. In: Kovar-Eder J, ed. *Paleovegetational development in Europe and regions relevant to its palaeofloristic evolution*. Vienna: Museum of Natural History, 129–139.
- Conti E, Litt A, Wilson PG, Graham SA, Briggs BG, Johnson LAS, Sytsma KJ. 1997. Interfamilial relationships in Myrtales: molecular phylogeny and patterns of morphological evolution. Systematic Botany 22: 629–647.
- Conti E, Eriksson T, Schönenberger J, Sytsma KJ, Baum DA. 2002. Early Tertiary out-of-India dispersal of Crypteroniaceae: evidence from phylogeny and molecular dating. *Evolution* 56: 1931–1942.
- **Corner EJH. 1976.** The seeds of dicotyledons, vols 1&2. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- **Cortez PA, Carmello-Guerreiro SM. 2008.** Ontogeny and structure of the pericarp and the seed coat of *Miconia albicans* (Sw.) Triana (Melastomataceae) from 'cerrado', Brazil. *Revista Brasileira de Botânica* **31:** 71–79.
- Cotton E, Borchsenius F, Balslev H. 2014. A revision of Axinaea (Melastomataceae). Det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskab. Scientia Danica, Series B, Biologica 4: 1–120.
- **Dahlgren R, Thorne RF. 1984.** The order Myrtales: circumscription, variation, and relationships. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* **71:** 633–699.
- **Davis GL. 1966.** *Systematic embryology of the angiosperms.* New York: John Wiley.
- Drummond AJ, Suchard MA, Xie D, Rambaut A. 2012. Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 29: 1969–1973.
- Ellison AM, Denslow JS, Loiselle BA, Brenes D. 1993. Seed and seedling ecology of Neotropical Melastomataceae. *Ecology* 74: 1733–1749.
- Endress PK. 2011. Angiosperm ovules: diversity, development, evolution. *Annals of Botany* 107: 1465–1489.

- Etheridge AL, Herr Jr. JM. 1968. The development of the ovule and megagametophyte in *Rhexia mariana*. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 46: 133–139.
- Felsenstein J. 1985. Phylogenies and the comparative method. *The American Naturalist* 125: 1-15.
- de Figueiredo RA, Longatti CA. 1997. Ecological aspects of the dispersal of a Melastomataceae by marmosets and howler monkeys (Primates: Platyrrhini) in a semideciduous forest of southeastern Brazil. *Revue d'Ecologie (Terre et Vie)* 52: 3–8.
- Fritsch PW, Almeda F, Renner SS, Martins AB, Cruz BC. 2004. Phylogeny and circumscription of the near-endemic Brazilian tribe Microlicieae (Melastomataceae). American Journal of Botany 91: 1105–1114.
- Fuentes S, Vivian-Smith A. 2009. Fertilization and fruit initiation. In: Østergaard L, ed. Annual Plant Reviews Volume 38: Fruit development and seed dispersal. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell, 107–171.
- Galetti M, Stotz D. 1996. *Miconia hypoleuca* (Melastomataceae) como espécie-chave para aves frugívoras no sudeste do Brasil. *Revista Brasileira de Biologia* 56: 435–439.
- Garcia QS, Rezende JLP, Aguiar LMS. 2000. Seed dispersal by bats in a disturbed area of southeastern Brazil. *Revista de Biología Tropical* **48**: 125–128.
- Gasser CS, Broadhvest J, Hauser BA. 1998. Genetic analysis of ovule development. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 49: 1–24.
- Goldenberg R. 1999. A new species of *Miconia* Ruiz & Pavón (Melastomataceae) from Espírito Santo, Brazil. *Novon* 9: 514–516.
- **Goldenberg R, Amorim AM. 2006.** *Physeterostemon* (Melastomataceae): a new genus and two new species from the Bahian Atlantic Forest, Brazil. *Taxon* **55**: 965–972.
- Goldenberg R, Penneys DS, Almeda F, Judd WS, Michelangeli FA. 2008. Phylogeny of Miconia (Melastomataceae): patterns of stamen diversification in a megadiverse Neotropical genus. International Journal of Plant Sciences 169: 963–979.
- Goldenberg R, Fraga CN, Fontana AP, Nicolas AN, Michelangeli FA. 2012. Taxonomy and phylogeny of Merianthera (Melastomataceae). Taxon 61: 1040–1056.
- Goldenberg R, Almeda F, Sosa K, Ribeiro RC, Michelangeli FA. 2015. *Rupestrea*: a new Brazilian genus of Melastomataceae, with anomalous seeds and dry indehiscent fruits. *Systematic Botany* **40**: 561–571.
- Groenendijk JP, Bouman F, Cleef AM. 1996. An exploratory study on seed morphology of *Miconia* Ruiz & Pavón (Melastomataceae), with taxonomic and ecological implications. *Acta Botanica Neerlandica* **45**: 323–344.
- Jie C, Renner SS. 2007. Melastomataceae. Flora of China 13: 360–399.
- Judd WS, Ionta GM. 2013. Taxonomic studies in the Miconieae (Melastomataceae). X. Revision of the species of the *Miconia crotonifolia* complex. *Brittonia* 65: 66–95.
- Katoh K, Standley DM. 2013. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30: 772–780.

- Kelley DR, Gasser CS. 2009. Ovule development: genetic trends and evolutionary considerations. *Sexual Plant Reproduction* 22: 229–234.
- Kriebel R.2016. A monograph of *Conostegia* (Melastomataceae, Miconieae). *Phytokeys* 67: 1–326.
- Kriebel R, Michelangeli FA, Kelly LM. 2015. Discovery of unusual anatomical and continuous characters in the evolutionary history of *Conostegia* (Miconieae: Melastomataceae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 82: 289–313.
- Lanfear R, Calcott B, Ho SY, Guindon S. 2012. Partitionfinder: combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 29: 1695–1701.
- Lapenta MJ, Procópio-de-Oliveira P. 2008. Some aspects of seed dispersal effectiveness of golden lion tamarins (*Leontopithecus rosalia*) in a Brazilian Atlantic forest. *Tropical Conservation Science* 1: 122–139.
- Linkies A, Graeber K, Knight C, Leubner-Metzger G. 2010. The evolution of seeds. *The New Phytologist* 186: 817–831.
- Magnusson WE, Sanaiotti TM. 1987. Dispersal of Miconia seeds by the rat Bolomys lasiurus. Journal of Tropical Ecology 3: 277–278.
- Martins AB, Koschnitzke C, Oliveira CMS, Baumgratz JFA, Matsumoto K, Reginato M, Souza MLDR, Guimarães PJF, Goldenberg R, Romero R. 2009. Melastomataceae. In: Wanderley MGL, Shepherd GJ, Melhem TS, Giulietti AM, Martins SE, eds. *Flora Fanerogâmica do Estado de São Paulo, Vol. 6*. São Paulo: Instituto de Botânica/ FAPESP, 1–167.
- Maruyama PK, Borges MR, Silva PA, Burns KC, Melo C. 2013. Avian frugivory in *Miconia* (Melastomataceae): contrasting fruiting times promote habitat complementarity between savanna and palm swamp. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 29: 99–109.
- Maxwell JF. 1981. A revision of the genus *Pternandra* (Melastomataceae). *Gardens' Bulletin Singapore* 34: 1–90.
- McDowell EM, Trump BF. 1976. Histologic fixatives suitable for diagnostic light and electron microscopy. *Archives of Pathology & Laboratory Medicine* 100: 405–414.
- Medeiros JD, Morretes BL. 1996. The embryology of *Miconia* cabucu (Melastomataceae). *Cytologia* 61: 83–91.
- Meijer W. 1972. The genus Axinandra Melastomataceae: a missing link in Myrtales? Ceylon Journal of Science (Biological Sciences) 10: 72–74.
- Meirelles J. 2015. Filogenia de Miconia seção Miconia, subseção Seriatiflorae e revisão taxonômica do clado albicans (Melastomataceae, Miconieae). Unpublished D. Phil. Thesis, Universidade Estadual de Campinas.
- MELNet. 2007. Melastomataceae.Net. A site with information on the biodiversity of Melastomataceae. Available at: http:// www.melastomataceae.net/ (accessed 9 January 2017).
- Mendoza-Cifuentes H, Fernández-Alonso JL. 2010. Evaluación de caracteres del cáliz y de los estambres en la tribu Merianieae (Melastomataceae) y definición de homologías. *Revista de la Academia Colombiana de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales* **34:** 143–172.

- Mendoza-Cifuentes H, Fernández-Alonso JL. 2012. Novedades en *Centronia* y *Meriania* (Merianieae, Melastomataceae) y revisión taxonómica de *Meriania* grupo *brachycera*. *Anales del Jardín Botánico de Madrid* 69: 259–294.
- Michelangeli FA, Penneys DS, Giza J, Soltis DE, Hils MH, Skean JD. 2004. A preliminary phylogeny of the tribe Miconieae (Melastomataceae) based on nrITS sequence data and its implications on inflorescence position. *Taxon* 53: 279–290.
- Michelangeli FA, Judd WS, Penneys DS, Skean JD, Bécquer-Granados ER, Goldenberg R, Martin C V. 2008. Multiple events of dispersal and radiation of the tribe Miconieae (Melastomataceae) in the Caribbean. *The Botanical Review* 74: 53–77.
- Michelangeli FA, Nicolas AN, Morales-Puentes ME, David H. 2011. Phylogenetic relationships of Allomaieta, Alloneuron, Cyphostyla, and Wurdastom (Melastomataceae) and the resurrection of the tribe Cyphostyleae. International Journal of Plant Sciences 172: 1165–1178.
- Michelangeli FA, Guimarães PJF, Penneys DS, Almeda F, Kriebel R. 2013. Phylogenetic relationships and distribution of New World Melastomeae (Melastomataceae). *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* **171:** 38–60.
- Mohamed-Yasseen Y, Barringer SA, Splittstoesser WE, Costanza S. 1994. The role of seed coats in seed viability. *The Botanical Review* 60: 426–439.
- Morley T. 1976. Memecyleae (Melastomataceae). Flora Neotropica. New York: New York Botanical Garden Press, 1–295.
- **Morley T. 1999.** A new species of *Votomita* (Melastomataceae) from Venezuela, with thoughts on ovule and seed number and seed size. *Novon* **9:** 241–244.
- O'Brien TP, Feder N, McCully ME. 1964. Polychromatic staining of plant cell walls by toluidine blue O. *Protoplasma* 59: 368–373.
- Ocampo G, Almeda F. 2013. Seed diversity in the Miconieae (Melastomataceae): morphological characterization and phenetic relationships. *Phytotaxa* 80: 1–129.
- Ocampo G, Michelangeli FA, Almeda F. 2014. Seed diversity in the tribe Miconieae (Melastomataceae): taxonomic, systematic, and evolutionary implications. *PLoS One* 9: e100561.
- Pagel M. 1994. Detecting correlated evolution on phylogenies: a general method for the comparative analysis of discret characters. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 255: 37–45.
- Pagel M, Meade A. 2006. Bayesian analysis of correlated evolution of discrete characters by reversible-jump Markov chain Monte Carlo. *The American Naturalist* 167: 808–825.
- Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K. 2004. APE: Analyses of phylogenetics and evolution in R language. *Bioinformatics* 20: 289–290.
- Penneys DS, Judd WS. 2005. A systematic revision and cladistic analysis of *Charianthus* (Melastomataceae) using morphological and molecular characters. *Systematic Botany* 30: 559–584.

 $\verb"© 2018 The Linnean Society of London, Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 2018, 186, 224–246 \\ \end{tabular}$ 

- **Penneys DS, Judd WS. 2011.** Phylogenetics and morphology in the Blakeeae (Melastomataceae). *International Journal of Plant Sciences* **172**: 78–106.
- Penneys DS, Judd WS. 2013. Combined molecular and morphological phylogenetic analyses of the Blakeeae (Melastomataceae). *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 174: 802–817.
- Penneys DS, Michelangeli FA, Judd WS, Almeda F. 2010. Henrietteeae (Melastomataceae): a new Neotropical berryfruited tribe. *Systematic Botany* **35**: 783–800.
- Rambaut A, Suchard MA, Xie D, Drummond AJ. 2014. Tracer v1.6. Available from: http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer.
- Rao VS, Dahlgren R. 1969. The floral anatomy and relationships of Oliniaceae. *Botaniska Notiser* 122:160–171.
- Reginato M. 2016. Taxonomic revision of *Leandra* sect. *Leandra* (Melastomataceae, Miconieae). *Phytotaxa* 262: 1–97.
- Reginato M, Michelangeli FA. 2016. Untangling the phylogeny of Leandra s.str. (Melastomataceae, Miconieae). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 96: 17–32.
- Renner SS. 1989. A survey of reproductive biology in Neotropical Melastomataceae and Memecylaceae. Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 76: 496–518.
- Renner SS. 1993. Phylogeny and classification of the Melastomataceae and Memecylaceae. Nordic Journal of Botany 13: 519-540.
- Renner SS. 2004. Multiple Miocene Melastomataceae dispersal between Madagascar, Africa and India. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences* 359: 1485–1494.
- **Revell LJ. 2012.** Phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **3:** 217–223.
- **Revell LJ. 2013.** Two new graphical methods for mapping trait evolution on phylogenies. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **4:** 754–759.
- **Ribeiro RC, Oliveira DMT, Silveira FAO. 2015.** A new seed coat water-impermeability mechanism in *Chaetostoma armatum* (Melastomataceae): evolutionary and biogeographical implications of physiophysical dormancy. *Seed Science Research* **25:** 194–202.
- Rocha MJR, Batista JAN, Guimarães PJF, Michelangeli FA. 2016a. Phylogenetic relationships in the Marcetia alliance (Melastomeae, Melastomataceae) and implications for generic circumscription. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 181: 585–609.
- Rocha MJR, Guimarães PJF, Michelangeli FA, Romero R. 2016b. Phylogenetic placement and a new circumscription of *Potheranthera* (Microlicieae; Melastomataceae). *Phytotaxa* 263: 219–232.
- Schönenberger J, Conti E. 2003. Molecular phylogeny and floral evolution of Penaeaceae, Oliniaceae, Rhynchocalycaceae, and Alzateaceae (Myrtales). *American Journal of Botany* **90**: 293–309.
- **Shamrov II. 2000.** The integument of flowering plants: developmental patterns and evolutionary trends. *Acta Biologica Cracoviensia Series Botanica* **42:** 9–20.
- Simon MF, Grether R, de Queiroz LP, Skema C, Pennington RT, Hughes CE. 2009. Recent assembly of

the Cerrado, a Neotropical plant diversity hotspot, by *in situ* evolution of adaptations to fire. *Proceedings of the National* Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **106**: 20359–20364.

- Skean JD. 1993. Monograph of Mecranium (Melastomataceae Miconieae). Systematic Botany Monographs 39: 1–116.
- Smith FH, Smith EC. 1942. Anatomy of the inferior ovary of Darbya. American Journal of Botany 29: 464–471.
- Stiles FG, Rosselli L. 1993. Consumption of fruits of the Melastomataceae by birds: how diffuse is coevolution? *Plant Ecology* 107: 57–73.
- **Stone RD. 2006.** Phylogeny of major lineages in Melastomataceae, subfamily Olisbeoideae: utility of nuclear glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GapC*) gene sequences. *Systematic Botany* **31:** 107–121.
- **Stone RD. 2014.** The species-rich, paleotropical genus *Memecylon* (Melastomataceae): molecular phylogenetics and revised infrageneric classification of the African species. *Taxon* **63:** 539–561.
- Subramanyam K. 1942. Gametogenesis and embryogeny in a few members of the Melastomataceae. *Journal of the Indian Botanical Society* 21: 69–85.
- Subramanyam K. 1944. A contribution to the life-history of Sonerila wallichii Benn. Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences – Section B 19: 115–120.
- Subramanyam K. 1948. An embryological study of Melastoma malabathricum L. Journal of the Indian Botanical Society 27: 11–19.
- Subramanyam K. 1951. Embryology of Oxyspora paniculata DC. Phytomorphology 1: 205–212.
- **Tobe H. 1989.** The embryology of angiosperms: its broad application to the systematic and evolutionary study. *Botanical Magazine, Tokyo* **102:** 351–367.
- **Tobe H, Raven PH. 1983a.** An embryological analysis of Myrtales: its definition and characteristics. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* **70**: 71–94.
- Tobe H, Raven PH. 1983b. The embryology of Axinandra zeylanica (Crypteroniaceae) and the relationships of the genus. Botanical Gazette 144: 426–432.
- Tobe H, Raven PH. 1984a. The embryology and relationships of Alzatea Ruiz & Pav. (Alzateaceae, Myrtales). Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden 71: 844–852.
- **Tobe H, Raven PH. 1984b.** The embryology and relationships of Oliniaceae. *Plant Systematics and Evolution* **146**: 105–116.
- Tobe H, Raven PH. 1984c. The embryology and relationships of Penaeaceae (Myrtales). *Plant Systematics and Evolution* 146: 181–195.
- Tobe H, Raven PH. 1984d. The embryology and relationships of *Rhynchocalyx* Oliv. (Rhynchocalycaceae). *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* **71:** 836–843.

Tobe H, Raven PH. 1987a. The embryology and relationships of *Crypteronia* (Crypteroniaceae). *Botanical Gazette* 148: 96–102.

- **Tobe H, Raven PH. 1987b.** The embryology and relationships of *Dactylocladus* (Crypteroniaceae) and a discussion of the family. *Botanical Gazette* **148:** 103–111.
- Triana JJ. 1871. Les Mélastomacées. Transactions of the Linnean Society of London. Botany 28: 1–188.

- Veranso-Libalah MC, Stone RD, Fongod AGN, Couvreur TLP, Kadereit G. 2017. Phylogeny and systematics of African Melastomateae (Melastomataceae). *Taxon* 66: 584–614.
- Windsor JB, Symonds VV, Mendenhall J, Lloyd AM. 2000. Arabidopsis seed coat development: morphological differentiation of the outer integument. *The Plant Journal* 22: 483–493.
- Wurdack JJ. 1973. Melastomataceae. In: Lasser T, ed. Flora de Venezuela. Caracas: Instituto Botánico, 1–819.
- Wurdack JJ. 1980. Melastomataceae. In: Harling G, Sparre B, eds. *Flora of Ecuador*. Göteborg: University of Göteborg, 1–406.
- Ziegler A. 1925. Beiträge zur Kenntnis des Androeceums und der Samenentwicklung einiger Melastomaceen. *Botanisches Archiv: Zeitschrift für die gesamte Botanik* 9: 398–467.

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

**Appendix S1.** List of the species of Melastomataceae analysed in this study, information source for its systematic position, data source and herbarium and voucher data.

**Appendix S2.** GenBank accession numbers for sequences included in the phylogenetic analyses based on plastid and nuclear markers.